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ABSTRACT

This article investigates the existence and unique solution of a fractional Volterra boundary value problem of the first sort with Hadamard type and three-point boundary conditions. Our analysis is based on the fixed-point theorem of Krasnoselskii-Zabreiko and the Banach contraction principle. We explored the solution of a Hadamard type boundary value issue with fractional integral boundary conditions, and our conclusions are well demonstrated with examples.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of fractional differential equations and inclusions has received a lot of attention in recent years. It has become an important academic issue because to its numerous applications in the fields of physics, economics, and engineering sciences. Fractional differential equations and inclusions provide appropriate models for addressing real-world situations that cannot be addressed using classical integer-order differential equations (Benchohra et al., 2009; Ahmad et al., 2011; Bai, 2010; Balachandran & Trujillo, 2010; Agarwal et al., 2010; Ahmad, 2010).

Fractional calculus is a branch of mathematics concerned with the study and application of arbitrary order integrals and derivatives. Fractional differential equations are derived from the mathematical modelling of systems and operations encountered in a wide variety of engineering and scientific disciplines, including physics, chemistry, aerodynamics, electrodynamics of complex media, polymer rheology, economics, control theory, signal and image processing, biophysics, and blood flow phenomena, among others (Ishak, 2020; Kilbas & Trujillo, 2003; Guotao et al., 2018; Ahmad et al., 2021; Sial et al., 2021; Ntouyas et al., 2021; Jhanthanam et al, 2019).

The majority of study on this issue has long been recognized to be based on Riemann-Liouville and Caputo-type fractional differential equations. Another type of fractional derivative that appears in the literature alongside Riemann-Liouville and Caputo derivatives is the Hadamard fractional derivative introduced in 1892 (Chen et al., 2013), which is distinguished from the preceding ones by the presence of a logarithmic function of any exponent in the kernel of the integral. Details and properties of Hadamard fractional derivative and integral can be found in Ahmad et al. (2021); Samadi & Ntouyas (2021); Kiararamkul et al. (2021); Benkerrouche et al. (2021).

This study investigated the existence and uniqueness of the following boundary value problem for the Volterra fractional differential equation of the Hadamard type.

\[ D_\alpha^H x(t) = \int_{\omega}^t K(t,s)\phi(s,x(s))ds, \quad t \in [1,w], \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad w \in \mathbb{R} \]

\[ x(1) = 0, \quad x(w) = \beta x(\eta), \quad 1 < \eta < w \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where \( D_\alpha^H \) is the Hadamard derivative of order \( \alpha, \phi:[1,w] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a continuous function and \( \beta \) is a real number.
2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1.** (Ahmad et al., 2017) The Hadamard derivative of fractional order q for a function \( g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \), is defined as:

\[
\mu^q g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-q)} \int_a^t \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \left( \log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{n-q-1} g(s) \, ds,
\]

provided the integral exists, where \([q]\) denotes the integer part of the real number \( q \) and \( \log(\cdot) = \log_e(\cdot) \).

**Definition 2.2.** (Ahmad et al., 2017) The Hadamard fractional integral of order \( q \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) of a function \( g \in L^1[a, b] \), \( 0 \leq a \leq t \leq b < \infty \) is defined as

\[
\mu^q g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_a^t \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-q} g(s) \, ds, \quad q > 0
\]

**Definition 2.3.** (Ahmad et al., 2017) Let \( 0 < a < b < \infty, \delta = t \frac{d}{dt} \) and \( AC^n[a, b] = \{ f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} : \delta^n f(t) \in [a, b] \} \). The Hadamard derivative of fractional order \( q \) for a function \( f \in AC^n[a, b] \) is defined as

\[
D^q f(t) = \delta^n \left( I^{n-q} (\log \frac{t}{s}) \right) f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-q)} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_a^t \left( \log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{n-q-1} f(s) \, ds
\]

Where \( n-1 < q < n \), \( n = [q]+1 \). \([q]\) denotes the integer part of the real number \( q \) and \( \log(\cdot) = \log_e(\cdot) \).

**Lemma 1.** (Ahmad et al., 2017) Let \( q > 0 \) and \( x \in C(1, \infty) \cap L^1(1, \infty) \). Then the solution of Hadamard fractional differential equation \( \mu D^q x(t) = 0 \) is given by

\[
x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (\log t)^{q-i}
\]

And the following formula holds:

\[
\mu^1 \mu^q x(t) = x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (\log t)^{q-i}
\]

where \( c_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, ..., n \) and \( n-1 < q < n \).

Rewrite problem (1) as a fixed-point problem:

\[
\mu D^q x(t) = \sigma(t), \quad t \in [1, w], \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad w \in \mathbb{R}
\]

\[
x(1) = 0, \quad x(w) = \beta x(\eta), \quad \eta \in [1, w]
\]

Where \( \sigma(t) = \int_0^t K(t, s) \phi(s, x(s)) \, ds \)

**Lemma 2:** For \( 1 < \alpha \leq 2 \) and \( \sigma(t) \in C([1, w], \mathbb{R}) \) the boundary value problem (2) is equivalent to the integral equation:

\[
x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_1^t \left( \frac{\log \frac{t}{s}}{\log \frac{1}{s}} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} \, ds + \frac{\beta}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_1^\eta \left( \frac{\log \frac{s}{\eta}}{\log \frac{1}{\eta}} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} \, ds - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_1^w \left( \frac{\log \frac{w}{s}}{\log \frac{1}{s}} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} \, ds
\]

Proof: In view of lemma (1) the fractional differential equation (2) is equivalent to the integral equation:

\[
x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_1^t \left( \frac{\log \frac{t}{s}}{\log \frac{1}{s}} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} \, ds + c_1 (\log t)^{\alpha-1} + c_2 (\log t)^{\alpha-2}
\]

Using the given boundary conditions, we find that \( c_2 = 0 \), and

\[
c_1 = \frac{1}{(\log w)^{\alpha-1} - \beta (\log \eta)^{\alpha-1}} \left[ \frac{\beta}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_1^\eta \left( \frac{\log \frac{s}{\eta}}{\log \frac{1}{\eta}} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} \, ds - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_1^w \left( \frac{\log \frac{w}{s}}{\log \frac{1}{s}} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} \, ds \right]
\]

Substituting the values of \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) in (4), we obtain (3). This completes the proof.

Now we recall the Krasnol’sk‘ii-Zabreiko’s fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 1.** (Ahmad et al., 2017) Let \( (E, \| \|) \) be a Banach space, and \( T: E \to E \) be a completely continuous operator. Assume that \( A: E \to E \) is a bounded linear operator such that 1 is not an eigenvalue of \( A \) and

\[
\lim_{\|u\| \to \infty} \frac{\|Tu - Au\|}{\|u\|} = 0
\]

Then \( T \) has a fixed point in \( E \).
Using Lemma 2, the solution of the problem (1) can be written as:

\[ x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{1}^{t} \left( \log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \int_{s}^{t} K(t, s) \phi(s, x(s)) \, ds \right) \, ds + \frac{\log(\log)^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha(\log)^{\alpha-1}} \left[ \frac{\beta}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{1}^{\infty} \left( \log \frac{1}{s} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} \, ds - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{1}^{w} \left( \log \frac{w}{s} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} \, ds \right] \]  

(5)

3. MAIN RESULT

Consider the Banach space \( X = C([1, w], \mathbb{R}) \) with the norm \( \|x\| = \sup_{t \in [1, w]} |x(t)| \).

**Theorem 2:** Let \( \phi \) be a continuous function, satisfying

\[ |K(t, s)| < \delta e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \]

for some \( \delta, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \phi(a, 0) \neq 0 \) for some \( a \in [1, w] \) and

\[ \lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{\phi(t, x(t))}{x} = \Omega(t), \quad \Omega_{max} = \max_{t \in [1, w]} |\Omega(t)| < \frac{1}{\Lambda} \]

With

\[ \Lambda = \frac{\delta (\log w)^{\alpha}}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left( 1 + \frac{\beta (\log w)^{\alpha} (\log w)^{-1} - (\log w)^{\alpha-1}}{\log(\log)^{\alpha-1} - \beta (\log n)^{\alpha-1}} \right) \]

Then the BVP (1) has at least one nontrivial solution in \([1, w]\).

Proof: define an operator \( \Psi: X \to X \) by

\[ \Psi x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{1}^{t} \left( \log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} \, ds + \frac{\log(\log)^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha(\log)^{\alpha-1}} \left[ \frac{\beta}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{1}^{\infty} \left( \log \frac{1}{s} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} \, ds - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{1}^{w} \left( \log \frac{w}{s} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} \, ds \right] \]

basing on this the mapping \( \Psi \) is well defined, now we have to prove that there exist a fixed points for the operator \( \Psi \) in the Banach space \( X \).

We split the proof into three steps.

**Step 1.** To prove that \( \Psi \) is continuous let us consider a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converging to \( x \), for each \( t \in [1, w] \) we have:

\[ |\Psi x_n(t) - \Psi x(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{1}^{t} \left( \log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} \, ds + \frac{\log(\log)^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha(\log)^{\alpha-1}} \left[ \frac{\beta}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{1}^{\infty} \left( \log \frac{1}{s} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} \, ds - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{1}^{w} \left( \log \frac{w}{s} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} \, ds \right] \]

Since the convergence of a sequence implies its boundedness therefore there exists a number \( M > 0 \) such that:

\[ \|x_n\| \leq M, \quad \|x\| \leq M \]

and hence \( \phi \) is uniformly continuous on the compact set \( \{(t, x), t \in [1, w], \|x\| < M\} \), thus \( |\Psi x_n(t) - \Psi x(t)| \leq \epsilon, \forall n \geq n_0 \), this shows that \( \Psi \) is continuous.

For any \( R > 0 \), we consider the closed set \( C = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq R\} \).
Step 2: we prove that $\Psi(C)$ is relatively compact in $X$ we set:

$$
\Phi_{\text{max}} = \max_{t \in [1,w], \|x\| \leq s} |\phi(t, x)|
$$

Then we have:

$$
|\Psi(x(t))| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_1^t \left( \log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\alpha-1} s \left( \int_s^t \|K(t, s)\| |\phi(s) x(s)| \right) ds + \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_1^t \frac{1}{\left( \log s \right)^{\alpha-1} \beta (\log s)^{\alpha-1}} \left[ \beta \delta \Phi_{\text{max}} (\log s)^{\alpha} - \frac{\lambda \delta \Phi_{\text{max}} (\log s)^{\alpha}}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right] ds
$$

Thus $\|\Psi x\| \leq \Delta \Phi_{\text{max}}$ and consequently $\Psi(C)$ is uniformly bounded. For $t_1, t_2 \in [1, w]$ with $t_1 < t_2$ we have

$$
|\Psi x(t_2) - \Psi x(t_1)| \leq \\
\int_1^{t_2} \int_s^{t_1} \left( \log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\alpha-1} s \left( \int_s^t \|K(t, s)\| |\phi(s) x(s)| \right) ds + \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_1^{t_2} \frac{1}{\left( \log s \right)^{\alpha-1} \beta (\log s)^{\alpha-1}} \left[ \beta \delta \Phi_{\text{max}} (\log s)^{\alpha} - \frac{\lambda \delta \Phi_{\text{max}} (\log s)^{\alpha}}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right] ds
$$

As the right-hand side tends to 0 as $t_1 \to t_2$, this guarantees that $\Psi(C)$ is equicontinuous by Arzela-Ascoli theorem the mapping $\Psi$ is completely continuous on $X$. This completes the proof of Step 2.

Next consider the following boundary value problem

$$
\mu D^\alpha x(t) = \theta(t), \quad t \in [1, w], \; 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \; w \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Where $\theta(t) = \int_0^t K(t, s)\Omega(s)x(s)ds$. Let us define an operator $A: X \to X$ by

$$
Ax(t) = \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_1^t \left( \log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\alpha-1} s \left( \int_s^t \|K(t, s)\| |\phi(s) x(s)| \right) ds + \\
\frac{\lambda \delta \Phi_{\text{max}} (\log s)^{\alpha}}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha+1)} - \frac{\beta (\log s)^{\alpha-1} (\log s)^{\alpha-1}}{\beta (\log s)^{\alpha-1}}
$$

Clearly, $A$ is a bounded linear operator, in addition any fixed point of $A$ is a solution of the boundary value problem (6) and vice versa.

Step 3. We now make sure that 1 is not an eigenvalue of $A$. Suppose that the boundary value problem (6) has a nontrivial solution $x(t)$, then:

$$
\|x\| = \|Ax\| = \sup_{t \in [1, w]} |Ax(t)| \leq \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_1^t \left( \log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\alpha-1} s \left( \int_s^t \|K(t, s)\| |\Omega(s)||x(s)| \right) ds + \\
\frac{\lambda \delta \Phi_{\text{max}} (\log s)^{\alpha}}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha+1)} - \frac{\beta (\log s)^{\alpha-1} (\log s)^{\alpha-1}}{\beta (\log s)^{\alpha-1}}
$$

As the right-hand side tends to 0 as $t_1 \to t_2$, this guarantees that $\Psi(C)$ is equicontinuous by Arzela-Ascoli theorem the mapping $\Psi$ is
\[
\leq \Omega_{\max} \|x\| \frac{\delta (\log w)^{\alpha}}{\Delta (\alpha+1)} \left[ 1 + \frac{\beta (\log w)^{\alpha-1} \log w}{(\log w)^{\alpha-1} - \beta (\log w)^{\alpha-1}} \right] \leq \Omega_{\max} \Lambda \|x\| \leq \|x\|
\]

So, because of this contradiction the BVP (6) has no nontrivial solution. Thus 1 is not an eigenvalue of A.

Finally, we prove that:

\[
\lim_{\|x\| \to \infty} \frac{\|\Psi x - Ax\|}{\|x\|} = 0
\]

According to the \( \lim_{\|x\| \to \infty} \frac{\phi(t,x(t))}{x} = \Omega(t) \), for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exist some \( \xi > 0 \) such that:

\[
\left| \phi \left( t, x(t) \right) - \Omega(t) x \right| < \epsilon \|x\|, \quad \text{for } |x| > \xi
\]

set

\[
\xi^* = \max \{ \max_{t \in [1, w]} \phi(t, x(t)) \}
\]

And select \( \Delta > 0 \) such that:

\[
\xi^* + \Omega_{\max} \xi < \epsilon \Delta
\]

We denote

\[
I_1 = \{ t \in [1, w] : |x(t)| \leq \xi \}, \quad I_2 = \{ t \in [1, w] : |x(t)| > \xi \}
\]

For any \( x \in X \) with \( \|x\| > \Delta \), \( t \in I_1 \) we have:

\[
\left| \phi \left( t, x(t) \right) - \Omega(t) x \right| \leq \left| \phi \left( t, x(t) \right) \right| + \Omega_{\max} \|x\|
\]

\[
\leq \xi^* + \Omega_{\max} \xi \leq \epsilon \Delta \leq \epsilon \|x\|
\]

For any \( x \in X \) with \( \|x\| > \Delta \), \( t \in I_2 \) we have:

\[
\left| \phi \left( t, x(t) \right) - \Omega(t) x \right| \leq \|x\|
\]

Then for any \( x \in X \) with \( \|x\| > \Delta \) we have:

\[
\left| \phi \left( t, x(t) \right) - \Omega(t) x \right| \leq \epsilon \|x\|
\]

Then we obtain:

\[
\|\Psi x - Ax\| \leq \sup_{t \in [1, w]} \left| (\Psi x - Ax)(t) \right| \leq \sup_{t \in [1, w]} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \left( \log \frac{\Xi}{\xi} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \int_0^t \left| K(s, x(s)) \right| ds \right) ds + \frac{\beta (\log w)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\log w)^{\alpha-1} - \beta (\log w)^{\alpha-1}} \right]
\]

\[
\leq \max_{t \in [1, w]} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \left( \log \frac{\Xi}{\xi} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \int_0^t \left| K(s, x(s)) \right| ds \right) ds + \frac{\beta (\log w)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\log w)^{\alpha-1} - \beta (\log w)^{\alpha-1}} \right]
\]

Consequently, Krasnol’sk’i-Zabreiko’s theorem guarantees that the boundary value problem (1) has at least one nontrivial solution, whereas \( \Psi : X \to X \) is completely continuous operator and \( A : X \to X \) is bounded linear operator such that 1 is not eigenvalue of A and:

\[
\lim_{\|x\| \to \infty} \frac{\|\Psi x - Ax\|}{\|x\|} = 0
\]

**Theorem 3:** let \( \phi : [1, w] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be continuous function satisfying the following condition:

There exists a constant \( L > 0 \) such that

\[
\left| \phi \left( t, x(t) \right) - \phi \left( t, y(t) \right) \right| \leq L |x - y|\]

For each \( t \in [1, w] \) and \( x, y \in \mathbb{R} \), if

\[
L \Lambda < 1
\]

Then the Hadamard fractional BVP (1) has a unique solution on \([1, w]\).

Proof: fixing \( \max_{t \in [1, w]} \phi(t, 0) = \rho < \infty \), we define \( B_r = \{ x \in X : \|x\| \leq r \} \). Where \( r \geq \frac{\rho \Lambda}{1 - L \Lambda} \), we show that the set \( B_r \) is invariant with respect to the operator \( \Psi \) that is \( \Psi B_r \subset B_r \), for \( x \in B_r \) we have:

\[
\|\Psi x(t)\| \leq \max_{t \in [1, w]} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \left( \log \frac{\Xi}{\xi} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \int_0^t \left| K(s, x(s)) \right| ds \right) ds + \frac{\beta (\log w)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\log w)^{\alpha-1} - \beta (\log w)^{\alpha-1}} \right]
\]
\[
\int_1^n \left( \log \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( f^\gamma(x) \right) K(\eta, s) \left( |\phi(s, x(s)) - \phi(s, 0)| \right) ds + \int_1^w \left( \log \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( f^\gamma(x) \right) K(\eta, s) \left( |\phi(s, x(s)) - \phi(s, 0)| \right) ds \\
\leq \delta (\lambda + \rho) (\log \gamma)^{\alpha} + \frac{\delta \beta (\lambda + \rho) (\log \gamma)^{\alpha-1}}{\lambda \Gamma (\alpha + 1)} \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( f^\gamma(x) \right) K(\eta, s) \left( |\phi(s, x(s)) - \phi(s, 0)| \right) ds \\
\leq \delta (\lambda + \rho) (\log \gamma)^{\alpha} \left[ 1 + \frac{\beta (\log \gamma)^{-1}}{(\log \gamma)^{\alpha-1}} \right] \leq (\lambda + \rho) \Lambda \leq r
\]

Which shows that \( \Psi B_r \subset B_r \).

Now let \( x, y \in X \) then for \( t \in [1, w] \) we have:

\[
\| \Psi x(t) - \Psi y(t) \| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha + 1)} \left[ 1 + \frac{\beta (\log \gamma)^{-1}}{(\log \gamma)^{\alpha-1}} \right] \| x - y \| \leq (\lambda + \rho) \Lambda \leq r
\]

4. APPLICATION

The method presented in previous section is applicable to a variety of boundary value problems, and we can apply it on the following Hadamard type boundary value problem with fractional integral boundary conditions given by:

\[
m^\alpha D^\alpha x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t K(t,s) \phi \left( s, x(s) \right) ds , \quad 1
\]

\[
t < w , \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2 , \quad 1 < w \in \mathbb{R}^+
\]

\[
x(1) = 0 , \quad x(w) = 1^\beta x(\eta) , \quad 1 < \eta < w
\]

where \( m^\alpha D^\alpha \) is the Hadamard fractional derivative of order \( \alpha \), \( 1^\beta \) is the Hadamard fractional integral of order \( \beta \) and \( \phi(t,x(t)) \) is a continuous function.

Lemma 3: For \( 1 < \alpha \leq 2 \) and \( \sigma(t) \in C(1, w, \mathbb{R}) \) the unique solution of the BVP (8) is equivalent to the integral equation:

\[
x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha + 1)} \left( \log \gamma \right)^{\alpha-1} \sigma(s) ds + \frac{(\log \gamma)^{-1}}{\Gamma (\alpha + 1)} \left[ 1 + \frac{\beta (\log \gamma)^{-1}}{(\log \gamma)^{\alpha-1}} \right] \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha + 1)} \left( \log \gamma \right)^{\alpha-1} \sigma(s) ds
\]

Where \( \sigma(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t K(t,s) \phi \left( s, x(s) \right) ds \).

Proof: In view of lemma (2) the fractional differential equation (8) is equivalent to the integral equation:

\[
x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha + 1)} \left( \log \gamma \right)^{\alpha-1} \sigma(s) ds + c_1 (\log t)^{\alpha-2} + c_2 (\log t)^{\alpha-2}
\]

Using the given boundary conditions, we find that \( c_2 = 0 \), and

\[
c_2 = \frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha + 1)} \left[ 1 + \frac{\beta (\log \gamma)^{-1}}{(\log \gamma)^{\alpha-1}} \right] \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha + 1)} \left( \log \gamma \right)^{\alpha-1} \sigma(s) ds
\]
Substituting the values of \(c_1\) and \(c_2\) in (10) we obtain (9). This completes the proof.

**Theorem 4:** Let \(\varphi\) be a continuous function, satisfying \(|G(t, s)| < \delta_1\lambda_1(t-s)\) for some \(\delta_1, \lambda_1, \in \mathbb{R}, \varphi(a, 0) \neq 0\) and for some \(a \in [1, w]\)

\[
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{\varphi(t, x(t))}{x} = q(t), \quad q_{\text{max}} = \max_{t \in [1, w]} |q(t)| < \frac{1}{\Lambda_1}
\]

With

\[
\Lambda_1 = \frac{\delta (\log w)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{\beta (\log w)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left[ \frac{(\log w)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right]^{-1}
\]

Then BVP (8) has at least one nontrivial solution in \([1, w]\).

**Proof:** Define an operator \(\mathcal{S}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) by:

\[
\mathcal{S}x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_1^t \frac{(\log s)^{\alpha-1} \sigma(s)}{s} ds + \frac{(\log s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)(\log s)^{\beta-1}} \int_1^t \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left( \frac{(\log s)^{\alpha+1}}{s} \right) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left( \frac{(\log s)^{\alpha+1}}{s} \right) \right] ds,
\]

\(t \in [1, w]\)

We omit the further details as the remaining proof runs parallel to that of Theorem (2) with \(\Lambda_1\) in place of \(\Lambda\).

**Theorem 5:** Let \(\varphi: [1, w] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) be continuous function satisfying the following condition:

There exists a constant \(L_1 > 0\) such that

\[
|\varphi(t, x(t)) - \varphi(t, y(t))| \leq L_1|x - y|
\]

For each \(t \in [1, w]\) and \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}\), if

\(L_1 \Lambda_1 < 1\)

Then the Hadamard fractional BVP (8) has a unique solution on \([1, w]\).

The details of the proof have been omitted because they are parallel to what is found in Theorem (3).

5. EXAMPLES

**Example 1:** Consider the boundary value problem

\[
D^{1.25} x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{1-2t}((\sin t + 1)x(t) + 1) ds, \quad t \in [1, 2]
\]

\(x(1) = 0, \ x(2) = 1.5x(1.5), \ \eta \in [1, w]\)

Here \(\alpha = 1.25, \beta = 1.5, \eta = 1.5, w = 2\), and \(G(t, s) = e^{1-2t} < e^{-2(t-2)}\) where \(\delta_1 = 1, \lambda_1 = 2, \quad \varphi(t, x(t)) = (\sin t + 1)x(t) + 1\) where \(\varphi(a, 0) = 1 \neq 0\) and

\[
\Omega_{\text{max}} = 0.398149 < 1, \quad \text{and hence by} \quad \text{Theorem (2) the boundary value problem (11) has at least one solution.}
\]

On the other hand since \(|\varphi(t, x(t)) - \varphi(t, y(t))| = |x(t)\sin t + x(t) - y(t)\sin t + y(t)| \leq |x - y|\sin t + 1| \leq L|x - y|, \) where \(L \geq \sin t + 1 = 1.03, t \in [1, 2]\), and \(L \Lambda < 1\) then by theorem (3) the BVP (11) has a unique solution on \([1, 2]\).

**Example 2:** consider the boundary value problem

\[
D^{1.25} x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{1-2t}((\sin t + 1)x(t) + 1) ds, \quad t \in [1, 2]
\]

\(x(1) = 0, x(2) = t^{1.5}x(1.5), \ \eta \in [1, w]\)

Here \(\alpha = 1.25, \beta = 1.5, \eta = 1.5, w = 2\), and \(G(t, s) = e^{1-2t} < e^{-2(t-2)}\) where \(\delta_1 = 1, \lambda_1 = 2, \quad \varphi(t, x(t)) = (\sin t + 1)x(t) + 1\) where \(\varphi(a, 0) = 1 \neq 0\) and
\[
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{\varphi(t, x(t))}{x} = \sin t + 1 = \varphi(t) \to \varphi_{\max}
\]
\[
\varphi_{\max} = 0.636432
\]
\[
\Lambda_1 = \frac{\delta (\log w)^{\alpha - 1}}{\Lambda^{(\alpha + 1)}} + \frac{\delta (\log w)^{\alpha - 1}}{\Lambda^{(\alpha + 1)}} \frac{(\log w)^{\alpha - 1}}{(\log w)^{\alpha + \beta}} = 0.41348649
\]

Then \(\varphi_{\max} \approx 0.263156 < 1\), and hence by Theorem (4) the boundary value problem (12) has at least one solution.

On the other hand since
\[
|\varphi(t, x(t)) - \varphi(t, y(t))| = |x(t)\sin t + x(t) - y(t)\sin t + y(t)| \leq |x - y|\sin t + 1 \leq |x - y|, \quad \text{where} \quad L_1 \geq \sin t + 1 = 1.03, \quad t \in [1,2], \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda < 1
\]
then by theorem (5) the BVP (12) has a unique solution on [1, 2].

6. CONCLUSION

In this research paper we have proven the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the Hadamard type Volterra fractional integrodifferential equation with three-point boundary value conditions by selecting \(1 < \alpha \leq 2\) and optional interval \([1, w]\). Boundary value conditions have been chosen to contain three different point for which have never been used together with Volterra equation before in any article as far as we know. Existence of solutions have been shown by Krasnol’skii-Zabreiko’s fixed point theorem, and uniqueness solutions have been investigated by Banach contraction principal theorem.

The case of fractional integral boundary conditions was discussed, examples have been supported in order to demonstrate all theorems very well.
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