SOME RESULT IN THE EXISTENCE, UNIQUENESS AND STABILITY SOLUTION OF INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

Sahla Bibo Abdi¹, Raad Noori Butris^{2§}

¹University of Duhok, College of Basic Education, Department of Mathematics, Iraq [sahla.abdi@uod.ac]

²University of Duhok, College of Basic Education, Department of Mathematics, Iraq [raad.butris@uod.ac]

[§]Corresponding Author: raad.butris@uod.ac

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the existence, uniqueness, and stability—solution to a non-linear system of integro-differential equations by using both methods Picard approximation and Banach fixed point theorem. The existence, uniqueness, and stability—theorems are established under necessary and sufficient conditions of closed and bounded domain.

Keywords: Existence, uniqueness, stability solution, Integro-differential equation, Picard approximation method, Banach fixed point theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Differential equations that involve both integrals and derivatives are referred to as integro-differential equations. Existence, uniqueness, and stability solutions of new first-order of integro-differential equations have been extensively studied. Numerous domains, including physics, engineering, and biology, can benefit from the use of these equations (Coddington, and Levinson, 1955; Struble, 1962; Burton, 2005).

The existence and uniqueness of solutions for first-order of integro-differential equations on bounded domains have been studied in several research papers. Guo, Liu, and Zheng et al. studied the existence and uniqueness of solutions for first-order integro-differential equations in Banach using the upper and lower solutions method and the monotone iterative technique (Guo et al., 2019; Mahdi Monje, and Ahmed, 2019; Butris, and Abdi, 2021).

Further, requirements for the local existence, uniqueness, extendibility, and continuity of solutions to a general integral equation with numerous, variable lags were given by Bownds, Cushing, and Schutte (Chalishajar and Kumar, 2018; Hale, 1977).

The solutions of specific Volterra type equations using Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem (Maleknejad, and Alizadeh, 2009;

Islam, and Raffoul, 2013). Other papers include the study of the existence, uniqueness, and stability solutions of integro-differential equations with retarded argument and symmetric matrices (Butris, 2015; Kumar, & Baleanu, 2020), and the operator equation and bounded solutions of integro-differential equations (Ramesh, & Sathiyaraj, 2013).

ISSN: 2303-1751

Butris, and Hasso (1998) studied various theorems on existence and uniqueness of system non-linear integro-differential equations using both the Banach fixed point theorem and the Picard approximation approach, which were proposed by (Rama, 1981).

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (A + B(t))x(t)f(t,x(t), \int_{t}^{t+T} g(s,x(s))ds \quad (i)$$

In this work, we prove the existence, uniqueness and stability solution for another system of nonlinear integro-differential equations.

Consider the following system of integrodifferential equations which has the form:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (A_1 + B_1(t))x + f(t, x(t), y(t), z(t), \mu(t))$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = (A_2 + B_2(t))y + g(t, x(t), y(t), z(t), v(t))$$

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = (A_3 + B_3(t))z + h(t, x(t), y(t), z(t), \sigma(t))$$

$$(1)$$

where

$$\mu(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} G(t, s) x(s) ds,$$

$$v(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} H(t, s) y(s) ds \text{ and}$$

$$\sigma(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} K(t, s) z(s) ds$$

Let the vector functions $f(t, x, y, z, \mu)$, g(t, x, y, z, v) and $h(t, x, y, z, \sigma)$ be defined and continuous on the domains:

Assume that the vector functions $f(t, x, y, z, \mu)$, g(t, x, y, z, v) and $h(t, x, y, z, \sigma)$ satisfy the following inequalities:

$$\begin{split} \|f(t,x,y,z,\mu)\| &\leq M_1 \\ \|g(t,x,y,z,v)\| &\leq M_2 \\ \|h(t,x,y,z,\sigma)\| &\leq M_3 \\ \|f(t,x_1,y_1,z_1,\mu_1) - f(t,x_2,y_2,z_2,\mu_2)\| \\ &\leq L_1 \|x_1 - x_2\| + L_2 \|y_1 - y_2\| \\ &+ L_3 \|z_1 - z_2\| \\ &+ L_4 \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\| \qquad (4) \\ \|g(t,x_1,y_1,z_1,v_1) - g(t,x_2,y_2,z_2,v_2)\| \\ &\leq \gamma_1 \|x_1 - x_2\| + \gamma_2 \|y_1 - y_2\| \\ &+ \gamma_3 \|z_1 - z_2\| \\ &+ \gamma_4 \|v_1 - v_2\| \qquad (5) \\ \|h(t,x_1,y_1,z_1,\sigma_1) - h(t,x_2,y_2,z_2,\sigma_2)\| \\ &\leq \rho_1 \|x_1 - x_2\| + \rho_2 \|y_1 - y_2\| \end{split}$$

for all $t \in R^1, x, x_1, x_2 \in D, y, y_1, y_2 \in D_1, z, z_1, z_2 \in D_2, \mu, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in D_\mu, v, v_1, v_2 \in D_v$ and $\sigma, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in D_\sigma$. where M_1, M_2, M_3, L_1, L_2 , $L_3, L_4, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$ and $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4$ are positive constants. Also the singular kernels G(t, s), H(t, s) and K(t, s) satisfy the following conditions:

 $+ \rho_3 ||z_1 - z_2||$

 $+ \rho_4 \| \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 \|$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|G(t,s)\| \leq Q_1 \\ & \|H(t,s)\| \leq Q_2 \\ & \|K(t,s)\| \leq Q_3 \end{aligned}$$
 (7)

where Q_1, Q_2 and Q_3 are positive constants. Also, $A_1 = (A_{1ij}), A_2 = (A_{2ij}), A_3 = (A_{3ij}),$ $B_1 = (B_{1ij}), B_2 = (B_{2ij})$ and $B_3 = B_{3ij}$ are non-negative matrices and $\|.\| = \max_{t \in [0,T]} |.|,$

satisfy the following inequalities:

$$\begin{split} & \|B_1(t)\| \leq \vartheta_1 \\ & \|B_2(t)\| \leq \vartheta_2 \\ & \|B_3(t)\| \leq \vartheta_3 \end{split} \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0\| &\leq \delta_0 \\ \|y_0\| &\leq \delta_1 \\ \|z_0\| &\leq \delta_2 \end{aligned}$$
 (10)

where $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3, \delta_0, \delta_1$ and δ_2 are positive constants.

Define non-empty sets as follows:

$$D_{f} = G_{1} - \omega_{1}b(\vartheta_{1}\delta_{0} + M_{1})$$

$$D_{g} = G_{2} - \omega_{2}b(\vartheta_{2}\delta_{1} + M_{2})$$

$$D_{h} = G_{3} - \omega_{3}b(\vartheta_{3}\delta_{2} + M_{3})$$
(11)

Furthermore, assume that the largest Eigenvalue of the matrix

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} N_1b & b\omega_1L_2 & b\omega_1L_3 \\ b\omega_2\gamma_1 & N_2b & b\omega_2\gamma_3 \\ b\omega_3\rho_1 & \omega_3\rho_2b & N_3b \end{pmatrix} \text{less than one}$$

Since the matrix Λ has eigenvalue $(\frac{1}{2\Psi_4}(-\Psi_2 \pm ({\Psi_2}^2 - 4\Psi_1\Psi_3)^{\frac{1}{2}}))^{\frac{1}{3}} < 1$ (12)

$$(\frac{1}{2\Psi_1}(-\Psi_2 \pm (\Psi_2^{-1} - 4\Psi_1\Psi_3)^2))^3 < 1$$

$$\Psi_1 = b[N_1 + N_2 + N_3],$$

 $\Psi_{2} = b^{2}[-N_{1}N_{2} - N_{1}N_{3} - N_{2}N_{3} + \omega_{2}\omega_{3}\gamma_{3}\rho_{2} + \omega_{1}\omega_{2}L_{2}\gamma_{1} + \omega_{1}\omega_{3}L_{3}\rho_{1}]$

$$\begin{split} \Psi_3 &= b^3 [N_1 N_2 N_3 - \omega_2 \omega_3 \gamma_3 \rho_2 N_1 \\ &- \omega_1 \omega_2 L_2 N_3 \gamma_1 \\ &+ \omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3 L_2 \gamma_3 \rho_1 \\ &+ \omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3 L_3 \gamma_1 \rho_2 \\ &- \omega_1 \omega_3 L_3 N_2 \rho_1 \end{split}$$

where $N_1 = \omega_1(\vartheta_1 + l_1 + l_4Q_1)$, $N_2 = \omega_2(\vartheta_2 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_4Q_2)$ and $N_3 = \omega_3(\vartheta_3 + \rho_3 + \rho_4Q_3)$

Suppose that the sequence of functions $\{x_m(t)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}, \{y_m(t)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{z_m(t)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ are defined by the following:

$$x_{m+1}(t) = x_0 e^{A_1 t}$$

$$+ \int_0^t e^{A_1(t-s)} [B_1(s) x_m(s) + f(s, x_m(s), y_m(s), z_m(s), \mu_m(s))] ds \quad (13)$$

$$x(0) = x_0, m = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_{m+1}(t) &= y_0 e^{A_2 t} \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{A_2 (t-s)} [B_2(s) y_m(s) \\ &+ g(s, x_m(s), y_m(s), z_m(s), v_m(s))] ds \ (14) \\ y(0) &= y_0, m = 0,1,2, \dots \\ z_{m+1}(t) &= z_0 e^{A_3 t} \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{A_3 (t-s)} [B_3(s) z_m(s) \\ &+ h(s, x_m(s), y_m(s), z_m(s), \sigma_m(s))] ds \ (15) \\ z(0) &= z_0, m = 0,1,2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

2. EXISTENCE SOLUTION OF (1)

Existence of a solution for the system (1) has been proved by using Picard approximation method.

Theorem1: Let the vector functions $f(t, x, y, z, \mu)$, g(t, x, y, z, v) and $h(t, x, y, z, \sigma)$ are defined and continuous on the domain (2) and satisfy the inequalities from (3) to (10) and conditions (11) and (12). Then the sequence of functions (13), (14) and (15) convergent uniformly on the domains:-

$$(t, x_0) \in R^1 \times D_f$$

$$(t, y_0) \in R^1 \times D_g$$

$$(t, z_0) \in R^1 \times D_h$$

$$(16)$$

to the limit functions $\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \\ z(t) \end{pmatrix}$ satisfy the

following integral equations:

$$x(t) = x_0 e^{A_1 t}$$

$$+ \int_0^t e^{A_1(t-s)} [B_1(s)x(s) + f(s,x(s),y(s),z(s), \int_{-\infty}^s G(s,\tau)x(\tau)d\tau]ds$$
 (17)
$$y(t) = y_0 e^{A_2 t}$$

$$+ \int_0^t e^{A_2(t-s)} [B_2(s)y(s) + g(s,x(s),y(s),z(s), \int_{-\infty}^s H(s,\tau)y(\tau)d\tau]ds$$
 (18)

$$z(t) = z_0 e^{A_3 t} + \int_0^t e^{A_3 (t-s)} [B_3(s)z(s) + h(s, x(s), y(s), z(s), \int_{-\infty}^s K(s, \tau)z(\tau)d\tau] ds$$
 (19)

a unique solution of (1), provided that:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\|x_{m}(t) - x_{0}(t)\| \\
\|y_{m}(t) - y_{0}(t)\| \\
\|z_{m}(t) - z_{0}(t)\|
\end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix}
\omega_{1}b(\vartheta_{1}\delta_{0} + M_{1}) \\
\omega_{2}b(\vartheta_{2}\delta_{1} + M_{2}) \\
\omega_{3}b(\vartheta_{3}\delta_{2} + M_{3})
\end{pmatrix} (20)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\|x(t) - x_{m}(t)\| \\
\|x(t) -$$

 $\begin{pmatrix} \|x(t) - x_m(t)\| \\ \|y(t) - y_m(t)\| \\ \|z(t) - z_m(t)\| \end{pmatrix} \le \Lambda^m (E - \Lambda)^{-1} \alpha_1$ (21)

where

$$\alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 b(\vartheta_1 \delta_0 + M_1) \\ \omega_2 b(\vartheta_2 \delta_1 + M_2) \\ \omega_3 b(\vartheta_3 \delta_2 + M_3) \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof: By mathematical induction the sequences (13), (14) and (15) when m = 0, provided that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_{m}(t)-x_{0}\|\leq \omega_{1}b(\vartheta_{1}\delta_{0}+M_{1})\\ &\|y_{m}(t)-y_{0}\|\leq \omega_{2}b(\vartheta_{2}\delta_{1}+M_{2})\\ &\|z_{m}(t)-z_{0}\|\leq \omega_{3}b(\vartheta_{3}\delta_{2}+M_{3}) \end{aligned} \tag{22} \\ &\text{i.e.} \quad x_{m}(t)\in G_{1}, y_{m}(t)\in G_{2}, z_{m}(t)\in G_{3}, x_{0}\in D_{f}, y_{0}\in D_{g} \text{ and } z_{0}\in D_{h}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we have to prove that the sequences (13), (14) and (15) convergent uniformly on (2) when m=1, to have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_2(t) - x_1(t)\| &\leq \int_0^t \|e^{A_1(t-s)}\| [\|B_1(s)\| \|x_1(s) \\ &- x_0\| + L_1 \|x_1(s) - x_0\| \\ &+ L_2 \|y_1(s) - y_0\| \\ &+ L_3 \|z_1(s) - z_0\| \\ &+ L_4 \int_{-\infty}^s \|G(s,\tau)\| \|x_1(\tau) \\ &- x_0 \|d\tau] ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \int_0^t \omega_1 [\vartheta_1 \|x_1(s) - x_0\| + L_1 \|x_1(s) - x_0\| \\ &+ L_2 \|y_1(s) - y_0\| \\ &+ L_3 \|z_1(s) - z_0\| \\ &+ L_4 Q_1 \|x_1(s) - x_0\|] ds$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \omega_{1}[(\vartheta_{1} + L_{1} + L_{4}Q_{1})||x_{1}(s) - x_{0}|| \\ + L_{2}||y_{1}(s) - y_{0}|| \\ + L_{3}||z_{1}(s) - z_{0}||]ds \\ \leq N_{1}t||x_{1}(t) - x_{0}|| + t\omega_{1}L_{2}||y_{1}(t) - y_{0}|| \\ + t\omega_{1}L_{3}||z_{1}(t) - z_{0}|| \\ + k\omega_{1}L_{3}||z_{1}(t) - z_{0}|| \\ + k\omega_{1}L_{3}||z_{1}(t) - z_{0}|| \\ + N_{2}t||y_{1}(t) - y_{0}|| \\ + k\omega_{2}\gamma_{3}||z_{1}(t) - z_{0}|| \\ + \omega_{3}\rho_{1}||x_{1}(t) - x_{0}|| \\ + \omega_{3}\rho_{2}t||y_{1}(t) - y_{0}|| \\ + tN_{3}||z_{1}(t) - z_{0}|| \\ \end{pmatrix}$$
 and
$$||z_{2}(t) - z_{1}(t)||$$

$$\leq t\omega_{3}\rho_{1}||x_{1}(t) - x_{0}|| \\ + \omega_{3}\rho_{2}t||y_{1}(t) - y_{0}|| \\ + tN_{3}||z_{1}(t) - z_{0}|| \\ \end{pmatrix}$$
 By induction, we get
$$||x_{m+1}(t) - x_{m}(t)||$$

$$\leq N_{1}t||x_{m}(t) - x_{m-1}(t)|| \\ + t\omega_{1}L_{2}||y_{m}(t) - y_{m-1}(t)|| \\ + t\omega_{1}L_{3}||z_{m}(t) \\ - z_{m-1}(t)||$$
 (23)
$$||y_{m+1}(t) - y_{m}(t)|| \\ + k\omega_{2}\gamma_{3}||z_{m}(t) - x_{m-1}(t)|| \\ + t\omega_{3}\rho_{2}t||y_{m}(t) - y_{m-1}(t)|| \\ + tN_{3}||z_{m}(t) \\ - z_{m-1}(t)||$$
 (24)
$$||z_{m+1}(t) - z_{m}(t)|| \\ ||x_{m+1}(t) - x_{m}(t)|| \\ ||x_{m+1}(t) - z_{m}(t)|| \\ ||x_{m+1}(t) - z_{m}(t)|| \\ ||x_{m+1}(t) - z_{m}(t)|| \\ ||x_{m+1}(t) - z_{m}(t)|| \\ ||x_{m}(t) - x_{m-1}(t)|| \\ ||x_{m+1}(t) - x_{m}(t)|| \\ ||x_{m+1}(t) - x_{m}(t)|| \\ ||x_{m+1}(t) - x_{m}(t)|| \\ ||x_{m}(t) - x_{m-1}(t)|| \\ ||x_{m}(t) - x_{m-1}(t)|$$

and
$$\Lambda(t) = \begin{pmatrix} N_1 t & t\omega_1 L_2 & t\omega_1 L_3 \\ t\omega_2 \gamma_1 & N_2 t & t\omega_2 \gamma_3 \\ t\omega_3 \rho_1 & \omega_3 \rho_2 t & tN_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now for taking the maximum value of both sides inequalities of the (26)gives $\Omega_{m+1}(t) \le \Lambda(t)\Omega_m$ (27)

where $\Lambda = \max_{t \in [0,T]} \Lambda(t)$, we obtained that

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} N_1b & b\omega_1L_2 & b\omega_1L_3 \\ b\omega_2\gamma_1 & N_2b & b\omega_2\gamma_3 \\ b\omega_3\rho_1 & \omega_3\rho_2b & bN_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

By repetition (27), we get

$$\Omega_{m+1}(t) \le \Lambda^m \alpha_1 \tag{28}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \Omega_i \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Lambda^{i-1} \alpha_1 \tag{29}$$

By using (12), then the series (29) is convergent uniformly that is

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Omega_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Lambda^{i-1} \alpha_{1} = (E - \Lambda)^{-1} \alpha_{1}$$
(30)

Let
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \begin{pmatrix} x_m(t) \\ y_m(t) \\ z_m(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \\ z(t) \end{pmatrix}$$
 (31)

Since the sequence of functions (13), (14) and (15) are define and continuous in the domain

(2) then the limiting vector functions y(t) is

also defined and continuous on the domain (2),

hence the vector functions $\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \\ z(t) \end{pmatrix}$ is a solution

of (1).

Theorem 2. With all hypotheses and conditions of theorem 1. Then $\begin{pmatrix} y(t) \\ z(t) \end{pmatrix}$ is a unique solution

of (1).

Proof: Suppose $\begin{pmatrix} x^*(t) \\ y^*(t) \\ z^*(t) \end{pmatrix}$ be another solution of (1).

That is

$$x^{*}(t) = x_{0}e^{A_{1}t}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} e^{A_{1}(t-s)}[B_{1}(s)x^{*}(s)$$

$$+ f(s, x^{*}(s), y^{*}(s), z^{*}(s), \mu^{*}(t)]ds \quad (32)$$

$$y^{*}(t) = y_{0}e^{A_{2}t}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} e^{A_{2}(t-s)}[B_{2}(s)y^{*}(s)$$

$$+ g(s, x^{*}(s), y^{*}(s), z^{*}(s), \sigma^{*}(t)]ds \quad (33)$$
and
$$z^{*}(t) = z_{0}e^{A_{3}t}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} e^{A_{3}(t-s)}[B_{3}(s)z^{*}(s)$$

$$+ h(s, x^{*}(s), y^{*}(s), z^{*}(s), v^{*}(t)]ds \quad (34)$$

Now.

Now,

$$\|x(t) - x^*(t)\| \le \int_0^t \|e^{A_1(t-s)}\| [\|B_1(s)\| \|x(s) - x^*(s)\| + L_1 \|x(s) - x^*(s)\| + L_2 \|y(s) - y^*(s)\| + L_3 \|z(s) - z^*(s)\| + L_4 \int_{-\infty}^s \|G(s,\tau)\| \|x(\tau) - x^*(\tau)\| d\tau] ds$$

$$\le \int_0^t \omega_1 [\vartheta_1 \|x(s) - x^*(s)\| + L_1 \|x(s) - x^*(s)\| + L_2 \|y(s) - y^*(s)\| + L_3 \|z(s) - z^*(s)\| + L_4 Q_1 \|x(s) - x^*(s)\|] ds$$

$$\le \int_0^t \omega_1 [(\vartheta_1 + L_1 + L_4 Q_1) \|x(s) - x^*(s)\|] ds$$

$$\le \int_0^t \omega_1 [(\vartheta_1 + L_1 + L_4 Q_1) \|x(s) - x^*(s)\| + L_2 \|y(s) - y^*(s)\| + L_3 \|z(s) - z^*(s)\|] ds$$

$$\|x(t) - x^*(t)\| \le N_1 t \|x(t) - x^*(t)\| + t \omega_1 L_2 \|y(t) - y^*(t)\| + t \omega_1 L_3 \|z(t) - z^*(t)\|$$
 (35)

and

$$||y(t) - y^{*}(t)|| \le t\omega_{2}\gamma_{1}||x(t) - x^{*}(t)|| + N_{2}t||y(t) - y^{*}(t)|| + t\omega_{2}\gamma_{3}||z(t) - z^{*}(t)||$$
(36)

Similarly,

$$||z(t) - z^{*}(t)|| \le t\omega_{3}\rho_{1}||x(t) - x^{*}(t)|| + \omega_{3}\rho_{2}t||y(t) - y^{*}(t)|| + tN_{3}||z(t) - z^{*}(t)||$$
(37)

The inequalities (35), (36) and (37) in the vector form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \|x(t) - x^{*}(t)\| \\ \|y(t) - y^{*}(t)\| \\ \|z(t) - z^{*}(t)\| \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\leq \begin{pmatrix} N_{1}b & b\omega_{1}L_{2} & b\omega_{1}L_{3} \\ b\omega_{2}\gamma_{1} & N_{2}b & b\omega_{2}\gamma_{3} \\ b\omega_{3}\rho_{1} & \omega_{3}\rho_{2}b & tb \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \|x(t) - x^{*}(t)\| \\ \|y(t) - y^{*}(t)\| \\ \|z(t) - z^{*}(t)\| \end{pmatrix} (38)$$

From the condition (12), the system (1) has a unique solution on the domain (2).

3. STABILITY SOLUTIONS OF (1)

In this section, we investigate the stability solution of the system (1), by using the following theorem:

Theorem 4. If the inequalities (3) to (12) are satisfied and $\begin{pmatrix} x^{**}(t) \\ y^{**}(t) \\ z^{**}(t) \end{pmatrix}$ which is another solution

of (1), then the solution
$$\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \\ z(t) \end{pmatrix}$$
 is stable $\forall t \ge$

0. where $x^{**}(t) = x_0^{**}e^{A_1t} + \int_0^t e^{A_1(t-s)}[B_1(s)x^{**}(s) + f(s, x^{**}(s), y^{**}(s), z^{**}(s), \mu^{**}(s)]ds$ $y^{**}(t) = y_0^{**}e^{A_2t} + \int_0^t e^{A_2(t-s)}[B_2(s)y^{**}(s) + g(s, x^{**}(s), y^{**}(s), z^{**}(s), \sigma^{**}(s)]ds$ and $z^{**}(t) = z_0^{**}e^{A_3t} + \int_0^t e^{A_3(t-s)}[B_3(s)z^{**}(s) + h(s, x^{**}(s), y^{**}(s), z^{**}(s), v^{**}(s)]ds$

Proof:

$$||x(t) - x^{**}(t)|| \le ||x_0 - x_0^{**}|| ||e^{A_1(t)}|| + N_1 b ||x(t) - x^{**}(t)|| + b\omega_1 L_2 ||y(t) - y^{**}(t)|| + b\omega_1 L_3 ||z(t) - z^{**}(t)||$$

But $\|e^{A_1(t)}\| \le \beta_1, \beta_1 > 0$ and by the definition of stability for $\|x_0 - x_0^{**}\| \le \aleph_1$ we have $\|x(t) - x^{**}(t)\|$

$$\leq \aleph_1 \beta_1 + N_1 b \|x(t) - x^{**}(t)\| + b\omega_1 L_2 \|y(t) - y^{**}(t)\| + b\omega_1 L_3 \|z(t) - z^{**}(t)\|$$
(39)

Also,

$$||y(t) - y^{**}(t)|| \le ||y_0 - y_0^{**}|| ||e^{A_2(t)}|| + b\omega_2\gamma_1||x(t) - x^{**}(t)|| + N_2b||y(t) - y^{**}(t)|| + b\omega_2\gamma_3||z(t) - z^{**}(t)||$$

In addition, But $||e^{A_2(t)}|| \le \beta_2$, $\beta_2 > 0$ and also by $||y_0 - y_0^{**}|| \le \aleph_2$ gives

$$||y(t) - y^{**}(t)|| \le \aleph_2 \beta_2 + b\omega_2 \gamma_1 ||x(t) - x^{**}(t)|| + N_2 b||y(t) - y^{**}(t)|| + b\omega_2 \gamma_3 ||z(t) - z^{**}(t)|| (40) ||z(t) - z^{**}(t)|| \le ||z_0 - z_0^{**}|| ||e^{A_3(t)}||$$

$$||z(t) - z^{**}(t)|| \le ||z_0 - z_0^{**}|| ||e^{A_3(t)}|| + b\omega_3 \rho_1 ||x(t) - x^{**}(t)|| + \omega_3 \rho_2 b||y(t) - y^{**}(t)|| + bN_3 ||z(t) - z^{**}(t)||$$

But $||e^{A_3(t)}|| \le \beta_3$, $\beta_3 > 0$ and using the definition of stability for $||z_0 - z_0^{**}|| \le \aleph_3$ produces:

$$||z(t) - z^{**}(t)|| \le \aleph_3 \beta_3 + b\omega_3 \rho_1 ||x(t) - x^{**}(t)|| + \omega_3 \rho_2 b||y(t) - y^{**}(t)|| + bN_3 ||z(t) - z^{**}(t)||$$
(41)

Rewrite the inequalities (39), (40) and (41) in vector form, gives

$$\begin{pmatrix}
||x(t) - x^{**}(t)|| \\
||y(t) - y^{**}(t)|| \\
||z(t) - z^{**}(t)||
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\leq \begin{pmatrix} \aleph_1 \beta_1 \\ \aleph_2 \beta_2 \\ \aleph_3 \beta_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} ||x(t) - x^{**}(t)|| \\
||y(t) - y^{**}(t)|| \\
||z(t) - z^{**}(t)||
\end{pmatrix}$$

the condition (12) and the definition of stability (Ramma 1981), yields

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} \|x(t) - x^{**}(t)\| \\ \|y(t) - y^{**}(t)\| \\ \|z(t) - z^{**}(t)\| \end{pmatrix} \right) \le \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \epsilon_3 \end{pmatrix}, \ \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 >$$

0.

Therefore,
$$\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \\ z(t) \end{pmatrix}$$
 are stable for all $t \ge 0$, thus

the equation (1) is stable on the same interval.

4. ANOTHER RESULTS THE SYSTEM OF THE SOLUTION (1)

We can study the solution of integrodifferential equations by using Banach fixed point theorem .

Theorem 3. Assume that the vector functions $f(t, x, y, z, \mu)$, $g(t, x, y, z, \nu)$ and $h(t, x, y, z, \sigma)$ are defined and continuous on the domain (2) and satisfy all inequalities and conditions of theorem 1. Then the system (1) has a unique solution on the domains (2).

Proof: Let (D, ||.||) is a Banach space. Define a mapping T on D by:

$$Tx(t) = x_0 e^{A_1 t}$$

$$+ \int_0^t e^{A_1 (t-s)} [B_1(s)x(s) + f(s, x(s), y(s), z(s), \mu(s)] ds$$
(42)

$$Ty(t) = y_0 e^{A_2 t}$$

$$+ \int_0^t e^{A_2(t-s)} [B_2(s)y(s) + g(s,x(s),y(s),z(s),\sigma(s)] ds$$
 (43) and
$$Tz(t) = z_0 e^{A_3 t}$$

$$+ \int_0^t e^{A_3(t-s)} [B_3(s)z(s) + h(s,x(s),y(s),z(s),v(s)] ds$$
 (44) It easy to prove that $Tx(t),Ty(t)$ and $Tz(t) \in D$

Now, let
$$x(t), y(t), z(t), x^*(t), y^*(t)$$
 and $z^*(t) \in D$,

Then,

$$||Tx(t) - Tx^{*}(t)|| \le N_{1}b||x(t) - x^{*}(t)|| + b\omega_{1}L_{2}||y(t) - y^{*}(t)|| + b\omega_{1}L_{3}||z(t) - z^{*}(t)||$$
(45)

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ty(t) - Ty^*(t)\| &\leq b\omega_2\gamma_1\|x(t) - x^*(t)\| \\ &+ N_2b\|y(t) - y^*(t)\| \\ &+ b\omega_2\gamma_3\|z(t) - z^*(t)\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\|Tz(t) - Tz^*(t)\| &\leq b\omega_3\rho_1\|x(t) - x^*(t)\| \\ &+ \omega_3\rho_2b\|y(t) - y^*(t)\| \\ &+ bN_3\|z(t) - z^*(t)\| \end{aligned}$$

Rewrite the inequalities (45), (46) and (47) in vector form:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
||Tx(t) - Tx^{*}(t)|| \\
||Ty(t) - Ty^{*}(t)|| \\
||Tz(t) - Tz^{*}(t)||
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\leq \begin{pmatrix}
N_{1}b & b\omega_{1}L_{2} & b\omega_{1}L_{3} \\
b\omega_{2}\gamma_{1} & N_{2}b & b\omega_{2}\gamma_{3} \\
b\omega_{3}\rho_{1} & \omega_{3}\rho_{2}b & bN_{3}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
||Tx(t) - Tx^{*}(t)|| \\
||Ty(t) - Ty^{*}(t)|| \\
||Tz(t) - Tz^{*}(t)||
\end{pmatrix}$$

is a contraction mapping such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} Tx(t) \\ Ty(t) \\ Tz(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \\ z(t) \end{pmatrix}$$
 is a fixed point on

By using the condition (12), so that Ty(t)

D and its a unique solution of the system (1).

5. Conclusion.

This paper is study the existence, uniqueness, and stability solution to a non-linear system of integro-differential equations by using both method Picard approximation and Banach fixed point theorem. Theorems are established under necessary and sufficient conditions of compact. Spaces.

REMARK. Our solutions are global when we use the Picard approximation method while we get the local solutions by using the Banach fixed point theorem.

REFERENCE

- Burton, T. A. 2005. Volterra integral and differential equations, Elsevier, 202.
- Butris, R. N. 2015. Existence, Uniqueness and Stability Solution of Non-linear System of Integro-Differential Equation of Volterra type, International Journal of Innovative Science, Engineering & Technology, 2(7), 140-158.

- Butris, R. N. and Hasso, M. Sh. 1998. Existence of a solution for certain non-linear system of differential equation with boundary conditions, J. Education and Science, Mosul, Irag.
- Butris, R. N. and Abdi, S. B. 2021. Some Theorems in Existence, Uniqueness and Stability Solutions of Volterra Integro-Differential Equations of the First Order, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics Research 1(1), 21-33.
- Chalishajar, D. and Kumar, A. 2018. Existence, Uniqueness and Ulam's stability of solutions for a coupled system of fractional differential equations with boundary condition, Mathematics, 6 (96).
- Coddington, E. A. and Levinson, N. 1955. Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations, Mc Graw-Hill Book Company, New York.
- Guo, L.; Liu, Y. and Zheng, J. 2019. Existence, uniqueness and stability solutions of Volterra integro-differential equations with retarded argument and symmetric matrices, International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications, 11(7), 42-48.
- Hale, J. K. 1977. Structure of Solutions of Volterra Equations, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 2(1), 1-10.
- Islam, R. and Raffoul, Y. N. 2013. Existence and uniqueness of solution of integro-differential equation with nonlocal condition in cone metric space, Advances in Mathematics, 2(1), 1-12.
- Kumar, D. and Baleanu, D. 2020. Existence theory and semi-analytical study of non-linear Volterra fractional integro-differential equations, Results in Physics, 16, 102913.
- Mahdi Monje, Z.A.A. and Ahmed, B.A.A. 2019. A study of stability of first order delay differential equations using fixed point theorem Banach, Iraq Journal of Science, 60 (12), 2719-2724.
- Maleknejad, K. and Alizadeh, M. 2009. Volterra type integral equation by the Whittaker cardinal expansion, The Open Cybernetics and Systemic Journal, 3, 1-4.
- Rama, M. M. 1981. Ordinary Differential Equations Theory and Applications, Britain.

- Ramesh, G. and Sathiyaraj, R. 2013. Existence and Uniqueness of Solution of Volterra Integral Equations, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 12(3), 45-53.
- Struble, R. A. 1962.Non-Linear Differential Equations, Mc Graw- Hall Book Company Inc., New York.