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#### Abstract

Trip attraction model is required to analyse trip characteristics tolfrom a certain land use so that they are well planned in the future. This study aims to model trip attractions, and to predict the number of existing and for the next 5 years (in 2023) of trips to shopping and entertainment centres. The study results show that the trip attraction models to shopping and entertainment centres are $Y_{1}=283.369+0.001 X_{1}$ with $R^{2}=0.772$ and $Y_{2}=366.823+2.958 X_{5}$ with $R^{2}=0.974$ during peak hours on weekdays. For one day on weekdays, $Y_{3}=198.98+0.422$ $X_{3}$ with $R^{2}=0.910$ and $Y_{4}=1178.738+102.354 X_{5}$ with $R^{2}=0.963$. During peak hours on weekends, $Y_{5}=116.98+0.01 X_{1}$ with $R^{2}=0.920$ and $Y_{6}=159.738+0.001 X_{1}$ with $R^{2}=0.920$. For one day during weekend, $Y_{7}=18927.554-0.555 X_{3}$ with $R^{2}=0.929$ and $Y_{8}=764.654+$ $85.538 X_{5}$ with $R^{2}=0.972$. The study estimates the average trip attractions to shopping and entertainment centres for the next 5 years during peak hours on weekdays are 319 pcu/hour and 451 passengers/hour. For one day trips are predicted as of 8,656 pcu/day and 4,076 passengers/day. Similarly, during peak hours on weekend trips are predicted as of 472 pcu/hour and 116 passengers/hour, for one day are 803 pcu/day with 3,185 passengers/day.
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# ANALISIS TARIKAN PERJALANAN PADA PUSAT-PUSAT PERBELANJAAN DAN HIBURAN (STUDI KASUS: BEACHWALK SHOPPING CENTRE, MALL BALI GALERIA, PLAZA RENON, LEVEL 21 Mall Bali) 


#### Abstract

Abstrak: Model tarikan perjalanan diperlukan untuk analisis karakteristik perjalanan dari dan menuju suatu guna lahan agar menjadi lebih baik perencanaan kedepannya. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk memodelkan tarikan perjalanan, dan memprediksi besar tarikan perjalanan menuju pusat perbelanjaan dan hiburan yang terjadi pada saat ini dan untuk 5 tahun mendatang (tahun 2023). Hasil analisis model tarikan perjalanan menuju pusat perbelanjaan dan hiburan yaitu, $\mathrm{Y}_{1}=283,369+0,001 \mathrm{X}_{1}$ dengan $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0,772$ dan $\mathrm{Y}_{2}=366,823+2,958 \mathrm{X}_{5}$ dengan $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ 0,974 pada jam puncak di hari kerja. Untuk satu hari pada hari kerja, $\mathrm{Y}_{3}=198,98+0,422 \mathrm{X}_{3}$ dengan $R^{2}=0,910$ dan $Y_{4}=1178,738+102,354 X_{5}$ dengan $R^{2}=0,963$. Untuk jam puncak di akhir pekan yaitu, $\mathrm{Y}_{5}=116,98+0,01 \mathrm{X}_{1}$ dengan $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0,920$ dan $\mathrm{Y}_{6}=159,738+0,001 \mathrm{X}_{1}$ dengan $R^{2}=0,920$. Untuk satu hari pada akhir pekan yaitu, $\mathrm{Y}_{7}=18927,554-0,555 \mathrm{X}_{3}$ dengan $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0,929$ dan $\mathrm{Y}_{8}=764,654+85,538 \mathrm{X}_{5}$ dengan $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0,972$. Hasil prediksi rata-rata tarikan perjalanan menuju pusat perbelanjaan dan hiburan pada 5 tahun pada hari kerja untuk jam puncak adalah $319 \mathrm{smp} / \mathrm{jam}$ dengan jumlah penumpang adalah 451 orang/jam, untuk sehari adalah 8.656 $\mathrm{smp} /$ hari dengan jumlah penumpang adalah 4.076 orang/hari. Untuk prediksi tarikan perjalanan pada akhir pekan jam puncak adalah $472 \mathrm{smp} / \mathrm{jam}$ dengan jumlah penumpang adalah 116 orang/jam, untuk sehari adalah $803 \mathrm{smp} / \mathrm{hari}$ dengan jumlah penumpang adalah 3.185 orang/hari.


Kata kunci: pusat perbelanjaan dan hiburan, regresi linier, tarikan perjalanan

## INTRODUCTION

Analisis Shopping and entertainment centre is a land use that can beautify the city or local environment. In addition, it also serves as a place for shopping activities as well as a place to gather or recreation as a refresher after carrying out daily routine activities. Meanwhile, the pattern of people trips in the SARBAGITA area is influenced by the level of land use development. The trip patterns will cause problems if they are not planned properly. One that influences the trip pattern is the trip attractions. The trip patterns towards shopping centres are one of the trip patterns that often changes due to land use changes of the shopping centres. The large trip attractions according to observations occurred in the shopping centres.

Shopping centres located in SARBAGITA area that considerably having high number of trip attractions are Beachwalk Shopping Centre, Mall Bali Galeria, Plaza Renon, and Level 21 Mall Bali. Trip attractions to the shopping centres cause a huge impact on the surrounding road traffic. This cannot be denied because the centralised economic activities in shopping centres will certainly attract trips towards the area. The traffic impact can be the increases in traffic volume, the degree of saturation and in traffic conflicts that may occur in the vicinity of the shopping centres (Departemen PU, 1997; Khisty and Lall, 2005).

Meanwhile, a past study on trip attaction was conducted by Yastawan (2017) for shopping centres located in Badung regency. The study found that the significant variables for one day during weekday and weekend were the number of employees with values of $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.987$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.972$ respectively. In addition, another previous study conducted by Suthanaya (2010) resulted in the most significant variable for one day on weekdays is the total area of shopping centres with a value of $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.959$ while the most significant variable for one day on weekend is the parking area with a value of $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.990$.

There has been an absence of a trip attraction model to the shopping centres at Beachwalk Shopping Centre, Mall Bali Galeria, Plaza Renon, and Level 21 Mall

Bali. This study therefore, aims to construct the trip attraction model to these shopping and entertainment centres. A trip attraction analyses are required to find out the estimated number of the existing and future trips (for the next 5 years) to and from these shopping and entertainment centres as well as the influencing trip attractor variables.

The objectives to be achieved in this paper are:

1. To construct trip attraction models of entertainment and shopping centres.
2. To analyse the influencing trip attractos variables to entertainment and shopping centres
3. To analyse the existing trip attractions to entertainment and shopping centres and for the next 5 years.

## METHODS

## Linear Regression

Linear regression analysis is a statistical method to determine on how a dependent variable is related to one or more independent variable(s). A general equation for multiple linear regression analysis is as follows (Husaini, 1995; Tamin, 2000) :
$\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ where:
Y : dependent variables
$\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ : independent variables
A : constant
$B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}$ : coeficient of regression
Meanwhile, the determination coefficient $\left(R^{2}\right)$ is a measure commonly used to determine wheter a regression model was fitted to the data or appropriately employed to represent a liner relationship based on observation data.
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=\frac{\text { Jhreg }}{\text { Jhtotal }}$
where :
Jkreg: $B 1 \sum x 1+B 2 \sum x 2 y+\ldots+B n \sum x n y$
Jktotal : $\sum \mathrm{y}^{2}=\sum \mathrm{Y}^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma \mathrm{Y})_{2}}{n}$
In general, a dependent variable $Y$ may be occurred due to independent variables $X_{1}$, $X_{2}, X_{3}, \ldots, X_{n}$, so that the relationship between variable of $Y$ and variable of $X$ can be determined with a regression method of Y towards X.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum \mathrm{Yi} & =\mathrm{B}_{0} \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{B}_{1} \sum \mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{B}_{2} \sum \mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{i} \\
\sum \mathrm{Yi}_{1} \mathrm{i} & =\mathrm{B}_{0} \sum \mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{B}_{1} \sum \mathrm{X}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{B}_{1} \sum \mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{i} \\
\sum \mathrm{Yi}_{2} \mathrm{i} & =\mathrm{B}_{0} \sum \mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{B}_{2} \sum \mathrm{X}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{B}_{2} \sum \mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{i}
\end{array}
$$

Based on three equations above, measures of $\mathrm{B}_{0}, \mathrm{~B}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ are computed so that the regression of Y and X can be constructed.
$\mathrm{B}_{1}=\frac{\left(\sum x 2^{2}\right)\left(\sum x 1 y\right)-\left(\sum x 1 x 2\right)\left(\sum x 2 y\right)}{\left(\Sigma x^{2}\right)\left(\Sigma x 2^{2}\right)-(\Sigma x 1 x 2)}$
$\mathrm{B}_{2}=\frac{\left(\Sigma x^{2}\right)(\Sigma x 2 y)-(\Sigma \times 1 \times 2)(\Sigma \times 1 y)}{\left(\Sigma \times 1^{2}\right)\left(\Sigma x 2^{2}\right)-(\Sigma \times 1 \times 2)}$
$\mathrm{B}_{0}=\bar{Y}-\alpha_{1} \overline{X_{1}}-\alpha_{2} \overline{X_{2}}$
In order to predict the population growth for the next years, the formula below is used as follows:
$\mathrm{Pt}=\mathrm{Po}(1+\mathrm{r})^{\mathrm{n}}$
where:
$P_{t}$ : number of estimated population - at planned year (people)
$P_{0}$ : number of existing population - at base year (people)
r: population growth rate per year (\%)
n : the differences between base year and planned year

## Data Collection and Analysis

Meanwhile, the determination coefficient $\left(R^{2}\right)$ is a measure commonly used to determine wheter a regression model was fitted to the data or appropriately employed to represent a liner relationship based on observation data. Primary and secondary data were collected for this study. Primary data are obtained from mode of transport and trip attraction surveys on the entertainment and shopping centres.

Surveyors were located the main entry and exit gates counting the number of both motor vehicles and passengers to and from the centres.Meanwhile, total area, floor space area, parking area, number of
employees and number of supporting facilities were secondary data and each collected from the centres. Subsequently both primary and secondary data were recapitulated. Statistical methods were used for data analysis. This includes correlation analysis, multiple regression analysis, calibration and validation of trip attraction model and trip attraction estimation for the next 5 years. Finally, the conclusions and suggestion were drawn for this study

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

 The Use of the Mode of TransportationThe use of transportation modes is defined as either motorised or non motorised transport used by visitors in making trips to and from entertainment and shopping centres. The data shows that modes used for one day on weekdays were motorcycles by $49 \%$ ( 7,171 vehicles/day) and light vehicles by $51 \%$ ( 7,543 vehicles/day). Similarly, modes used for one day on weekend were motorcycles by $44 \%$ ( 8,053 vehicles/day) and light vehicles by $56 \% \quad(9,832$ vehicles/day). The use of all modes can be seen in Tables 1 and 2.

## The Characteristics of Facilities of Entertainmet and Shopping Centres

The characteristics of entertainment and shopping centre facilities are the value, size, or number of facilities owned by each centre as shownble 3.

Table 1. The Use of the whole modes on weekdays

| No. | Entertainment \& shopping centre | Motorcycle | Light Vehicle | Heavy Vehicle | Unmotorised transport |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Beachwalk Shopping Centre | 641 | 1,530 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | Plaza Renon | 1,424 | 1,183 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | Mall Bali Galeria | 3,472 | 2,717 | 42 | 14 |
| 4 | Level 21 Mall Bali | 1,634 | 2,113 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2. The use of the whole modes on weekend

| No. | Entertainment \& shopping centre | Motorcycle | Light Vehicle | Heavy Vehicle | Unmotorised transport |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Beachwalk Shopping Centre | 967 | 2,253 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | Plaza Renon | 1,937 | 1,528 | 0 | 3 |
| 3 | Mall Bali Galeria | 3,510 | 4,306 | 55 | 34 |
| 4 | Level 21 Mall Bali | 1,639 | 1,745 | 0 | 0 |

Table 3. The characteristics of entertainmet and shopping centres

| No. | Entertainment \& shopping centre | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}} \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{3}} \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{4}} \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{5}} \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Beachwalk Shopping Centre | 27,274 | 66,153 | 19,385 | 1,275 | 25 |
| 2 | Mall Bali Galeria | 71,415 | 43,991 | 32,052 | 1,914 | 30 |
| 3 | Plaza Renon | 4,371 | 14,114 | 6,160 | 457 | 17 |
| 4 | Level 21 Mal Bali | 12,511 | 17,725 | 7,861 | 864 | 21 |

Table 4. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ values for trip attraction model

| Independent variables | R values | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total area $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ | 0.879 | High |
| Floor space area $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ | 0.065 | Very Low |
| Parking area $\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$ | 0.763 | Sufficient |
| Number of employee $\left(\mathrm{X}_{4}\right)$ | 0.810 | High |
| Number of supporting facilities $\left(\mathrm{X}_{5}\right)$ | 0.781 | Sufficient |



Figure 1. Correlation between independent and dependent variables for vehicle attraction

Trip Attraction Model - Correlation between Dependent and Independent Variables

The correlation between independent and dependent variable during peak hours was obtained from the multiple linear regression models results of trip attraction and the characteristics of shopping centre facilities. The interpretation of the coefficient determination ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) of trip attraction can be seen in Table 4.

Based on the results obtained for the dependent variable, the number of vehicle making trips to the shopping centres considering only the floor space area has a very low correlation. This is because the value of $R$ is in the range of $0.01-0.20$. In addition, the parking area and the number of supporting facilities have sufficient correlation because the value of R is in the range of $0.61-0.80$, while for the total area and the number of employees have high
correlation because the value of R is in the range of values from 0.81 to 0.99 .

Figure 1 shows that the correlation between the independent variable of total land area of $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ and the most significant dependent variable resulted of $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.722$ and $\mathrm{R}=0.879$.

Table 5. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ values for people's trip attraction

| Independent variables | R values | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total area $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ | 0.763 | Sufficient |
| Floor space area $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ | 0.290 | Low |
| Parking area $\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$ | 0.611 | Sufficient |
| Number of employee $\left(\mathrm{X}_{4}\right)$ | 0.667 | Sufficient |
| Number of supporting facilities 0.618 | Sufficient |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{X}_{5}\right)$ |  |  |

Figure 2 demonstrated that the correlation analysis between the independent variables of total land area $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ and the dependent variable resulted of $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.578$ and $\mathrm{R}=0.763$.

## Correlation among Independent Variables

The correlation between independent variables during peak hours is obtained from multiple linear regression analysis of trip attraction data and the characteristics of
shopping centre facilities For the results of the correlation between independent variables can be seen in Table 6.

Based on the results, the independent variables, number of employees $\left(\mathrm{X}_{4}\right)$ is strongly correlated with number of supporting facilities ( $\mathrm{X}_{5}$ ) resulted of $\mathrm{R}=$ 0.998 and $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.996$. Meanwhile, the lowest correlation is between number of employees $\left(X_{4}\right)$ and floor space area $\left(X_{2}\right)$ resulted of $\mathrm{R}=0.530$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.281$.

Figure 2. Correlation between independent and dependent variables for passenger attraction
Table 6. The correlation of independent variables correlation for vehicle attraction

|  |  | Y 1 | X 1 | X 2 | X 3 | X 4 | X 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Correlation | 1.00 | .879 | .065 | .763 | .810 | .781 |  |
|  | X 1 | .879 | 1.00 | .358 | .978 | .968 | .951 |
|  | .065 | .358 | 1.00 | .539 | .530 | .573 |  |
|  | .763 | .978 | .539 | 1.00 | .977 | .970 |  |
| X 4 | .810 | .968 | .530 | .977 | 1.00 | .998 |  |
| X 5 | .781 | .951 | .573 | .970 | .998 | 1.00 |  |



Figure 3. Correlation among independent variables

## Calibration of Trip Attraction Models

The $F$ and the $t$ tests are used to calibrate trip attraction model in this study. The F test is conducted by comparing between the results of the calculated F and F of statistical table. If the results obtained from calculated $F$ were higher than $F$ of statistical table then the model equation can be implemented. In addition, the $t$ test is carried out by comparing the results between the value of calculated $t$ and $t$ of statistical table. If the results obtained from calculated $t$ are higher than $t$ of statistical table then the regression coefficient can be considered to be significant.

For the number of passenger vehicles, the calculated $F$ value is 94.144 (Table 8) while the F value of the table for numerator degree of freedom $\mathrm{V}_{1}=1$ and the denominator degree of freedom $\mathrm{v}_{2}=2$ with a significance level of $5 \%, \mathrm{~F}_{1 ; 2 ; 0.05}$ value is obtained equal to 18.51 . So the ratio between calculated F and $F$ table is $94.144>18.51$. The calculated F value is greater than F of statistical table so the model equation can be used. Table 9 shows the calculated $t$ is 4.599 while the $t$ of statistical table with a significance level of $5 \%(\alpha=0.05)$ is 4.303 . So the ratio between the calculated t and t of statistical table is $4.599>4.303$, then the model can be considered to be significant.

## Trip Attraction Model for Weekdays

Trip attraction model to entertainment and shopping centres for vehicles during weekdays is obtained from multiple linear regression methods. The software of SPSS is used and the correlation analysis is shown on Table 9 (Jubilee Enterprise, 2018).

The trip attraction model for weekdays therefore, can be written as follows:
$\mathrm{Y}_{1}=283.369+0.001 \mathrm{X}_{1} \quad \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.772$
$\mathrm{Y}_{2}=366.823+2.988 \mathrm{X}_{5} \quad \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.974$
$\mathrm{Y}_{3}=198.98+0.422 \mathrm{X}_{3} \quad \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.913$
$\mathrm{Y}_{4}=1178.738+102.354 \mathrm{X}_{5} \quad \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.963$
where:
$\mathrm{Y}_{1}=$ trip attraction for peak hours on weekdays (pcu / hour)
$Y_{2}=$ passenger attraction for peak hours on weekdays (person/hour)
$Y_{3}=$ daily trip attraction for workdays (pcu/day)
$Y_{4}=$ passenger attraction per day for weekdays (people/day)
$\mathrm{X}_{1}=$ total land area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{X}_{3}=$ parking area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
$X_{5}=$ number of supporting facilities (units)

In the equation obtained, it can be interpreted that every addition of $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of total area, the occurred trip attraction will increase by 283.369 vehicles/hour. The $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value of 0.772 indicates that $77.2 \%$ of the attraction to the shopping and entertainment centre during peak hours is influenced by the total area $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ while the remaining of $22.8 \%$ is affected by other causes.

From the obtained equation obtained, it can be interpreted that every addition of 1 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ of total area, the occurred trip attraction will increase by 116,980 vehicles/hour. The $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value of 0.920 shows that $92 \%$ of the attraction to shopping and entertainment shopping centres during peak hours is influenced by the total land area ( $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ ) while the remaining $8 \%$ is influenced by other causes.

Table 7. Determination coefficient $R^{2}$ (Model Summary)

| Model | R | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Standard Error of the Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.878 | 0.772 | 0.657 | 68.779 |

Table 8. Analysis of variance (ANOVA)

| Model | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Regression | 31964.002 | 1 | 31964.002 | 26.757 | $.001^{\text {b }}$ |
| Residual | 9461.026 | 2 | 4730.513 |  |  |
| Total | 41425.027 | 3 |  |  |  |

Table 9. Constant, coefficient and $t$ values

| Model | Unstandardised coefficicents |  |  |  |  |  | Standardised coefficient | t | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | Standard errors | Beta |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 (constant) | 283.369 | 82.119 | 31964.002 | 15.728 | .005 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | .001 | .006 | .878 | 4.599 | .004 |  |  |  |  |

## Trip Attraction Model for Weekend

The model of trip attraction to entertainment and shopping centres for vehicles on weekend is obtained from multiple linear regression methods. The software of SPSS is used and the correlation analysis is shown on Table 12.

Trip attraction model during weekend can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Y}_{5}=116.980+0.01 \mathrm{X}_{1} \quad \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.920 \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{6}=159.738+0.001 \mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.920 \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{7}=18927.254-0.555 \mathrm{X}_{3} \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.929 \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{8}=764.654+85.538 \mathrm{X}_{5} \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.972
\end{aligned}
$$

where :
$\mathrm{Y}_{5}=$ trip attraction for peak hours on weekend (pcu / hour)
$\mathrm{Y}_{6}=$ passenger attraction for peak hours on weekend (person/hour)
$\mathrm{Y}_{7}=$ daily trip attraction for weekend (pcu/day)
$\mathrm{Y}_{8}=$ passenger attraction per day for weekend (people/day)
$\mathrm{X}_{1}=$ total land area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
$X_{3}=$ parking area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{X}_{5}=$ number of supporting facilities (units)

## Validation of Trip Attraction Model

The trip attraction model validation is conducted by comparing the results of the survey and the data analysis. The validation results of trip attraction model to the shopping centre can be seen in Table 13. As for the results of the validation of the number of passenger vehicles model to the shopping centre can be seen in Table 14.

Table 13 shows that the highest and lowest differences between the survey results and the analysis of vehicle trip attraction during peak hours on weekend were of $4.9 \%$ and of $3.9 \%$ respectively.

Table 10. Determination coefficient $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ (Model Summary)

| Model | R | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Standard Error of the Estimate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $.959^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .920 | .502 | 114.889 |


| Table 11. Analysis of variance |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Model | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Regression | 53125.446 | 1 | 53125.446 | 34.025 | .004 |
| 1 | Residual | 26399.181 | 2 | 13199.591 |  |
| Total | 79524.628 | 3 |  |  |  |

Table 12. Constant, coefficient regression and calculated $t$ values

| Model | Unstandardized coefficientsStandardized coefficients |  | t | Sig. |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Standard Error | Beta |  |  |
| 1 | (Constant) | 116.980 | 137.174 |  | 4.498 | .005 |
|  | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | .012 | .009 | .817 | 6.006 | .004 |

Table 13. Validation of passenger vehicles attraction model

| Model | Survey results | Analysis results | Differences | Validation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | 298.4 | 310.643 | $3.9 \%$ | $3.9 \%<5 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{3}$ | 6518.6 | 6850.52 | $4.8 \%$ | $4.8 \%<5 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{5}$ | 288.6 | 303.655 | $4.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%<5 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{7}$ | 9734.2 | 10211.679 | $4.6 \%$ | $4.6 \%<5 \%$ |

Table 14. Validation of number of vehicle passenger model

| Model | Survey results | Analysis results | Differences | Validation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$ | 432 | 440.773 | $1.9 \%$ | $1.9 \%<5 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{4}$ | 3910 | 3737.588 | $4.6 \%$ | $4.6 \%<5 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{6}$ | 149 | 155.868 | $4.4 \%$ | $4.4 \%<5 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{8}$ | 2504 | 2581.259 | $2.9 \%$ | $2.9 \%<5 \%$ |

Table 14 shows that the highest and lowest differences between the survey and analysis results of number of passenger vehicles for one day on weekend are of $4.6 \%$ and during peak hours on weekdays are of $1.9 \%$ respectively.


Figure 4. Validation of vehicle attraction model


Figure 5. Validation of trip attraction model

## Trip Attraction Predictions for the Next 5 Years

The results obtained from the trip attraction survey and subsequently analysed using the software of SPSS 22 will be used to predict the trip attraction for the next 5 years. Trip attraction to shopping and entertainment centres is estimated using the developed trip attraction model. In order to calculate the growth rate, the number of population of the Badung Regency and Denpasar City were used for this study (Badan Pusat Statistik Kabupaten Badung 2016; Badan Pusat Statistik Kota Denpasar, 2016). These areas are located within short distances from the shopping centres and are considered to have high number of potential visitors to the shopping centres.

Table 15. Population growth factor

| Area | Number of population <br> (people) |  | r (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2017 |  |
| Badung regency | 828900 | 1000268 | 3.83 |
| Denpasar city | 575000 | 721367 | 4.64 |
|  | Average |  | 4.235 |

Based on the calculation of population growth, the value of $r$ is obtained as of $4.235 \%$. In this study, the growth of the total area of the shopping centre, floor space area, parking area, number of employees, and the number of supporting facilities is considered to be relevant to population growth as the increase in population will be followed with the increase of shopping centre facilities.

Table 16. Estimated vehicle trip attraction

| Estimated vehicle trip attraction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | r (\%) | Y 2018 | Unit | Y 2023 |  |
| Peak hours on weekdays | 4.235 | 298.4 | $\mathrm{pcu} / \mathrm{hour}$ | 319 |  |
| One day on weekdays | 4.235 | 6518.6 | $\mathrm{pcu} / \mathrm{hour}$ | 8656 |  |
| Peak hours on weekend | 4.235 | 288.6 | $\mathrm{pcu} / \mathrm{hour}$ | 472 |  |
| One day on weekend | 4.235 | 9734.2 | $\mathrm{pcu} / \mathrm{hour}$ | -803 |  |

Table 17. Estimated passenger trip attraction

| Estimated number of passenger vehicles |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | r (\%) | Y 2018 | Unit | Y 2023 |
| Peak hours on weekdays | 4.235 | 432 | pcu/hour | 451 |
| One day on weekdays | 4.235 | 3910 | pcu/hour | 4076 |
| Peak hours on weekend | 4.235 | 149 | pcu/hour | 116 |
| One day on weekend | 4.235 | 2504 | pcu/hour | 3185 |

## CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

1. For modes of transport used for weekdays were dominated by motorcycles ( $49 \%$ ), light vehicles ( $51 \%$ ). Meanwhile for weekend modes of transport were dominated by motorcycles (56\%) and light vehicles (44\%).
2. For trip attraction models to to the entertainment and shopping and centres are as follows:
a. On the weekdays
$\mathrm{Y}_{1}=283.369+0.001 \mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.772$
$\mathrm{Y}_{2}=366.823+2.988 \mathrm{X}_{5}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.974$
$\mathrm{Y}_{3}=198.98+0.422 \mathrm{X}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.913$
$\mathrm{Y}_{4}=1178.738+102.354 \mathrm{X}_{5}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.963$
b. On the weekend
$\mathrm{Y}_{5}=116.980+0.01 \mathrm{X}_{1,} \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.920$
$\mathrm{Y}_{6}=159.738+0.001 \mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.920$
$\mathrm{Y}_{7}=18927.254-0.555 \mathrm{X}_{3} \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.929$
$Y_{8}=764.654+85.538 X_{5}, R^{2}=0.972$
3. The estimated trip attraction to shopping and entertainment centres for the next 5 years is conducted for the weekdays and weekend. The estimated trip attractions during peak hours for weekdays are 319 pcu/hour and 451 passengers/hour while for one day are $8,656 \mathrm{pcu} /$ day and 4,076
passengers/day. In addition, the estimated trip attractions during peak hours on weekend are $472 \mathrm{pcu} / \mathrm{hour}$ and 116 passengers/hour while for one day is 803 pcu/day and 3,185 passengers/day.

In order to increase the accuracy of a trip attraction model development several approaches are suggested. These include the inclusion of more entertainment and shopping centres, the use of alternative method than regression models (for instance, category analyses) and the inclusion of opening hours for each centre on time framework of the study.
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