CYTOLOGICAL VARIATION OF (AAG)7 REPEAT ON LETTUCE CHROMOSOMES BY FLUORESCENCE *IN SITU* HYBRIDIZATION (FISH)

Winny Dewi Widarmi ^{1,2}*, Shinji Kikuchi ¹, Hidenori Sassa ¹, and Takato Koba ¹
 ¹Laboratory of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Graduate School of Horticulture, Chiba University, Matsudo 648, Matsudo, Chiba 271-8510, Japan
 ²Corteva Agriscience (PT Dupont Agricultural Product Indonesia), Cibis Nine 10th floor, Jl. TB Simatupang No. 2, East Cilandak, South Jakarta 12560, Indonesia
 *Corresponding author: winnydw@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Cytological studies using fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) technique provides phylogenetical information in closely related taxa and have been widely applied for karyotyping and studying chromosomal organization and evolution in plant species. In the present study, FISH using a microsatellite sequence of (AAG)₇ as the probe was performed in order to discriminate the chromosomes in four *Lactuca* species, *i.e.*, *L. sativa*, *L. serriola*, *L. saligna*, and *L. virosa*. The experiment was carried out in April to September 2018 at Laboratory of Genetic and Plant Breeding of Breeding of Graduate School of Horticulture, Chiba University, Japan. Different distribution patterns of (AAG)_n signals were shown on the chromosomes in the four *Lactuca* species studied, In *L. sativa* and *L. serriola*, FISH with (AAG)₇ sequences revealed dispersed distribution patterns with one pair of bright signals, respectively. While in *L. saligna* and *L. virosa*, distinct signals with different intensities were observed in two pairs of chromosomes of *L. saligna* and five pairs of chromosomes of *L. virosa*. In conclusion, the AAG repeat signals could be used as cytogenetic landmarks for chromosome identification in *Lactuca* species.

Keywords: (AAG)7 repeat, FISH, microsatellite, lettuce

INTRODUCTION

Cultivated lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) is an economically important member of the genus *Lactuca*, which includes closely related wild species, *L. serriola*, *L. salign*a and *L. virosa*. All of them have 2n = 2x = 18chromosomes, and the estimated genome size of *L. sativa* is 2.7 Gb, with repetitive sequences accounting for 74.2% of the genome (Koopman *et al.*, 1998; Michelmore *et al.*, 1994; Reyes-Chin-Wo *et al.*, 2017). So far, karyotype analyses were carried out to demonstrate the relationships among the cultivated lettuce and its closely related species (Haque and Godward 1985; Koopman and De Jong 1996; Lindqvist 1960; Matoba *et al.*, 2007). Koopman *et al.* (1993) applied chromosome banding techniques to discriminate the chromosomes of *Lactuca* species. Widarmi *et al.* (2019) and Matoba *et al.* (2007) applied FISH technique using 45S and 5SrDNAs to identify the chromosomes of *Lactuca* species. From these studies, it was clarified that chromosomal characteristics of

L. sativa and *L. serriola* were almost similar to each other, while cytological features of *L. saligna* are slightly different from *L. sativa/L. serriola*, and *L. virosa* is significantly different from the other species.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) using repetitive DNA sequences as the results in probes chromosome-specific hybridization patterns that allows identification of all chromosomes within a species, making this technique a powerful tool for the karyotyping and studying chromosomal organization and evolution in many plant species (Mukai et al. 1993; Pedersen and Langridge 1997; Jiang and Gill 2006).

The distribution of microsatellite $(AAG)_n$ on the chromosomes of wheat, barley, and related species in Triticeae were well studied. The sequence (AAG)5 was used as the probe for *in situ* hybridization to identify different chromatin classes in wheat (Cuadrado al.. 2000: 2008). et The oligonucleotide sequence (AAG)7 was used for genome and chromosome identification via *in situ* hybridization in cultivated barley and related species of the Triticeae (Poaceae) (Pedersen et al., 1996) The sequence (AAG)₉ was used for the complementary identification of all A-genome chromosomes in diploid and polyploid wheat (Badaeva et al., 2015, 2016). Also the sequence (GAA)₆ was used to perform a more accurate karyotype analysis of

Zanthoxylum armatum (Luo et al., 2018). Thus, probing AAG repeats for *in situ* hybridization seems to be a useful diagnostic tool in cytogenetics in many plant species.

In the present investigation, we aim to perform FISH using (AAG)₇ as the probe in order to clarify the possibility of using the microsatellite repetitive sequences for discrimination and/or identification of the chromosomes in *Lactuca* species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chromosomal study of lettuce chromosomes by FISH using (AAG)₇ as the probe was conducted in April to September 2018 at Laboratory of Genetic and Plant Breeding of Graduate School of Horticulture, Chiba University, Japan.

Plant materials and chromosome sample preparation

Seeds of L. sativa 'Shinano Hope', L. saligna, L. serriola, and L. virosa were kindly provided by Nagano Vegetable and Ornamental Crops Experimental Station, Japan. They were germinated and planted at the Graduate School of Horticulture, Chiba University, Matsudo, Japan. Root tips of 1-2 cm long were collected from the seedlings and young plants after a few weeks growth in 500mL pots. The root tips were fixed in 3 ethanol: 1 acetic acid (v/v) at 4°C for least 1 day before preparation. Fixed root tips were washed three times with distilled water, and the samples

were stored in 70% ethanol at 4°C. preparations Chromosomes were made through enzymatic maceration, *i.e.*, root tips were digested with 10 µl enzymatic mixture (4% Cellulase Onozuka RS, Yakult; 2% Pectolyase Y-23, Kyowa; and 1% Pectinase from Aspergillus, Sigma) at 37°C for 45-90 minutes. Squashing was made in 45% acetic acid and the slides were stored at -80°C for more than 12 h. The glass covers were removed by forceps for the following FISH experiment.

DNA probe preparation

The (AAG)₇ probe was labelled with digoxigenin-11-dUTP (Roche) by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's instructions.

FISH analysis

Chromosome slides were re-fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde/1 \times PBS for 10 min at temperature. Procedures room for hybridization and detection were followed as those described by Kikuchi et al. (2008). The slides were counter-stained with 4,6diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) in а VectaShield antifade solution (Vector Laboratories). All FISH images were captured with an Olympus BX53 fluorescence microscope with a CCD camera (CoolSNAP MYO; Photometrics). The FISH images were processed by Metamorph, Metavue imaging series version 7.8 and edited with Adobe Photoshop CC 2017. CHIAS IV (<u>http://www2.kobeu.ac.jp/~ohmido/index03.</u> <u>htm</u>) was used for the construction of the ideogram.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION RESULT

Images of mitotic metaphase of four Lactuca species visualized after FISH using (AAG)₇ sequence as the probe are shown in Figure. 1.

Different distribution patterns of $(AAG)_n$ signals were shown in all four *Lactuca* species studied. In *L. sativa* and *L. serriola*, FISH with $(AAG)_7$ sequences revealed dispersed distribution patterns with one pair of bright signals, respectively. While in *L. saligna* and *L. virosa*, distinct signals with different intensities were observed in two pairs of chromosomes of *L. saligna* and five pairs of chromosomes of *L. virosa*.



Fig. 1. FISH of (AAG)₇ (red) probe in four *Lactuca* species. (a-c) *L. sativa* cv. 'Shinano Hope' showing dispersed signals distribution in all chromosomes and two bright signal sites of (AAG)₇, (d-f) *L. serriola* showing dispersed signals distribution in all chromosomes with one pair of weak signals, (g-i) *L. saligna* showing bright signals in two pairs of chromosomes, (j-l) *L. virosa* showing strong signals. Scale bar=10 μ m

Figure 2 shows FISH karyotypes of four *Lactuca* species, which were arranged according to the order of the lengths of the chromosomes based on the FISH images shown in Figure 1. Quantitative ideograms of the prometaphase chromosomes of the four lettuce species generated by CHIAS IV based on the characteristics of condensation patterns, chromosome lengths, and FISH signals are shown in Fig. 3. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF BIOSCIENCES AND BIOTECHNOLOGY • Vol. 8 No. 2 • April 2021 eISSN: 2655-9994 pISSN: 2303-3371 https://doi.org/10.24843/IJBB.2021.v08.i02.p06



Fig. 2. FISH karyotypes of four *Lactuca* species with $(AAG)_n$ signals. Homologous pairs of chromosomes were arranged and numbered according to their lengths. Horizontal lines show the positions of the centromeres. Scale Bar=10 μ m

Dispersed signal distribution was observed in prometaphase chromosomes of L. sativa, with one pair of strong pericentromeric signals on Chromosome 1. L. serriola also showed similar dispersed distribution as those of L. sativa chromosomes, with one pair of small telomeric signals on Chromosome 2. By contrast, distinct signals were observed on L. saligna and L. virosa chromosomes. In L. saligna, Chromosomes 3 and 8 showed clear signals at the interstitial regions of the long arm and subtelomeric region of the short arm, respectively. While, in L. virosa,

Chromosome 1 had four major signals, two on the proximal region of the short and long arms, and two on the interstitial region of the long arm. Chromosome 3 showed two pairs of signals, one on the interstitial region and one on the subtelomeric region of the long arm. Chromosomes 2 and 5 showed one pair of clear signals on the long arms of the chromosomes and Chromosome 4 had one pair of signals on the proximal region of the chromosome. With their distribution patterns, Chromosomes 1 to 5 could be clearly discriminated by using (AAG)₇ probe.



Fig. 3. FISH ideogram of prometaphase chromosomes of *L. sativa* (**a**), *L. serriola* (**b**), *L. saligna* (**c**) and *L. virosa* (**d**) with $(AAG)_n$ signals (red) constructed by CHIAS IV. Numerals denote the chromosome numbers according to their chromosome lengths. Black, gray, and white areas represent chromosome regions with heavy, intermediate and slight condensation, respectively. The gaps in the middle of the chromosomes indicate the centromere regions. Homologous pairs of chromosomes were arranged and numbered according to their lengths. Horizontal lines show the positions of the centromeres

DISCUSSION

Schmidt and Heslop-harrison (1996) demonstrated that microsatellites, representing a substantial fraction of the genome, showed chromosome-specific amplification in plants. The repeat AAG presented different *in situ* hybridization patterns that provided cytogenetic landmarks for chromosome identification in barley, *Hordeum vulgare* ssp. vulgare (Carmona *et al.*, 2013). Also, Sonah *et al.*, (2011) described that AAG repeat units are major contributors to the genomes of dicots.

As shown in the previous reports (Lindqvist 1960; Koopman and De Jong 1996), the karyotype analyses indicated the closest relationship between *L. sativa* and *L. serriola*. Our results showed that karyotype of *L. sativa* was similar to that of *L. serriola*, since the dispersed signal distribution patterns of (AAG)₇ repeats were similarly observed in both species studied. However, *L. sativa and L. serriola* could be distinguished easily by

the presence of the AAG pericentromeric and telomeric signals on the Chromosomes 1 and 2, respectively. On the other hand, L. saligna and L. virosa showed their specific karyotype features with AAG signals compare to the other two species (Figure 2). Lindqvist (1960),Haque and Godward (1985),Koopman et al., (1993), and Koopman and De Jong (1996) described that cytological features of L. saligna and L. virosa are different from those of L. sativa/L. serriola. Based on the sequence comparisons of chloroplast DNAs in Lactuca species, Wei et al. (2017) revealed that L. sativa/L. serriola group was different from that of L. saligna/L. virosa. This fact indicates that the distribution of microsatellite sequence the on reflects the phylogenetic chromosomes differentiation of the species in the genus Cytological analyses Lactuca. on the distribution of microsatellite sequences would insight into the phylogenetic provide differentiation of the species in the genus Lactuca.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the AAG repeat presented different *in situ* hybridization patterns that provided cytogenetic landmarks for chromosome identification in *Lactuca* species. These such variation results provide insight into the distribution pattern and evolution of SSRs in the genome of *Lactuca*.

REFERENCES

- Badaeva ED, Amosova A V, Goncharov NP, Macas J, Ruban AS, Grechishnikova I V, Zoshchuk SA, Houben A, Schmid M. (2015). E-Mail A Set of Cytogenetic Markers Allows the Precise of All A-Genome Identification Chromosomes in Diploid and Polyploid Wheat. Cytogenetic and Genome Research. 146 (2015)71-79. doi:10.1159/000433458.
- Badaeva ED, Ruban AS, Zoshchuk SA, Surzhikov SA, Knüpffer H, Kilian B. (2016). Molecular cytogenetic characterization of Triticum timopheevii chromosomes provides new insight on genome evolution of T. zhukovskyi. Plant Systematics and Evolution. 302 (8) (2016) 943–956. doi:10.1007/s00606-016-1309-3.
- Carmona A, Friero E, de Bustos A, Jouve N, Cuadrado A. (2013). Cytogenetic diversity of SSR motifs within and between Hordeum species carrying the H genome: H. vulgare L. and H. bulbosum L. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 126(4) (2013) 949–961. doi:10.1007/s00122-012-2028-y.
- Cuadrado A, Cardoso M, Jouve N. (2008). Physical organisation of simple sequence repeats (SSRs) in Triticeae: structural, functional and evolutionary implications. Cytogenetic and Genome Research. 120(3–4) (2008) 210–219. doi:10.1159/000121069.
- Cuadrado A, Schwarzacher T, Jouve N. (2000). Identification of different chromatin classes in wheat using in situ hybridization with simple sequence repeat oligonucleotides. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 101(5–6) (2000) 711–717. doi:10.1007/s001220051535.
- Haque MZ, Godward MBE. (1985). Comparison between Two Genera, Species and Cultivars in Lactuceae I.

Karyotype analysis. Cytologia 50 (1985) 725-738

- Jiang J, Gill BS. (2006). Current status and the future of fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) in plant genome research. Genome. 49(9) (2006) 1057– 1068. doi:10.1139/G06-076.
- Kikuchi S, Matsui K, Tanaka H, Ohnishi O, Tsujimoto H. (2008). Chromosome evolution among seven Fagopyrum species revealed by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) probed with rDNAs. Chromosom Science. 11(1) (2008) 37–43.
- Koopman WJM, Guetta E, van de Wiel CCM, Vosman B, van den Berg RG. (1998).
 Phylogenetic relationships among *Lactuca* (Asteraceae) species and related genera based on ITS-1 DNA sequences. American Journal of Botany. 85(11) (1998) 1517–1530. doi:10.2307/2446479.
- Koopman WJM, De Jong JH. (1996). A numerical analysis of karyotypes and DNA amounts in lettuce cultivars and species (Lactuca subsect. Lactuca, Compositae). Acta Botanica Neerlandica. 45(2) (1996) 211–222. doi:10.1111/j.1438-8677.1996.tb00510.x.
- Koopman WJM, De Jong JH, De Vries IM. (1993). Chromosome banding patterns in Lettuce species (Lactuca sect. Lactuca, Compositae). Plant Systematics and Evolution. 185(3–4) (1993) 249–257. doi:10.1007/BF00937661.
- Lindqvist K. (1960). Cytogenetic Studies In The Serriola Group of Lactuca. Hereditas. 46(1–2) (1960) 75–151. doi:10.1111/j.1601-5223.1960.tb03080.x.
- Luo X, Liu J, Wang J, Gong W, Chen L, Wan W. (2018). FISH analysis of *Zanthoxylum armatum* based on oligonucleotides for 5S rDNA and

(GAA) ₆. Schwarzacher T, editor. Genome. 61(9) (2018) 699–702. doi:10.1139/gen-2018-0009.

- Matoba H, Mizutani T, Nagano K, Hoshi Y, Uchiyama H. (2007). Chromosomal study of lettuce and its allied species (*Lactuca* spp., Asteraceae) by means of karyotype analysis and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization. Hereditas. 144(6) (2007) 235–243. doi:10.1111/j.2007.0018-0661.02012x.
- Michelmore RW, Kesseli R V., Ryder EJ. (1994). Genetic mapping in lettuce.Phillips, R. L. and Vasil, I. K. (eds.).DNA-Based Markers in Plants. Kluwer Academic Publishers. p. 223–239.
- Mukai Y, Nakahara Y, Yamamoto M. (1993). Simultaneous discrimination of the three genomes in hexaploid wheat by multicolor fluorescence *in situ* hybridization using total genomic and highly repeated DNA probes. Genome. 36(3) (1993) 489–494. doi:10.1139/g93-067.
- Pedersen C, Langridge P. (1997). Identification of the entire chromosome complement of bread wheat by twocolour FISH. Genome. 40(5) (1997) 589–593. doi:10.1139/g97-077.
- Pedersen C, Rasmussen SK, Linde-Laursen I. (1996). Genome and chromosome identification in cultivated barley and related species of the Triticeae (Poaceae) by in situ hybridization with the GAA-satellite sequence. Genome. 39(1):93–104. doi:10.1139/g96-013.
- Reyes-Chin-Wo S, Wang Z, Yang X, Kozik A, Arikit S, Song C, Xia L, Froenicke L, Lavelle DO, Truco M-J, et al. (2017). Genome assembly with in vitro proximity ligation data and wholegenome triplication in lettuce. Nat Commun. doi:10.1038/ncomms14953.
- Schmidt T, Heslop-harrison JS. (1996). The physical and genomic organization of

microsatellites in sugar beet. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of U S A. 93(16) (1996) 8761–8765.

Sonah H, Deshmukh RK, Sharma A, Singh VP, Gupta DK. (2011). Genome-Wide Distribution and Organization of Microsatellites in Plants: An Insight into Marker Development in Brachypodium. PLoS One. 6(6) (2011) 21298.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021298.

- Wei Shi-Xin Zhu G Van den Berg Freek T Bakker M Eric Schranz ZR. (2017).
 Phylogenetic relationships within Lactuca L. (Asteraceae), including African species, based on chloroplast DNA sequence comparisons. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution. 64 (2017) 55–71. doi:10.1007/s10722-015-0332-5.
- Widarmi, WD., Kikuchi, S., Sassa, H., and Koba T. (2019). Characterization of lettuce chromosomes based on condensation patterns and physical mapping of 45S and 5S rDNAs using fluorescence in situ hybridization. Cytologia (Tokyo). 85 (1) (2019) 49-55. doi https://doi.org/10.1508/cytologia.85.49