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Abstract: Analysis Of Bank-Specific Factors To Determine The Profitability Of Islamic Banks In Indonesia: A Panel Regression Approach. Profitability is an important indicator of a bank’s performance. In 2014, profits of Islamic banks in Indonesia decreased by 19.7 percent. This paper aims to analyze the impact of bank-specific factors to the Islamic banking system in Indonesia has shown better development Islamic bank’s profitability. This study observed 11 Islamic banks in the Indonesia banking system in the period between 2010 - 2014. The quarterly data are taken from the Indonesian Banking Directory, published by the Financial Service Authority (OJK). Using panel data regression, the Fixed Effect Model with cross-sectional correlation (SUR) has selected as the best model. According to the obtained results, among internal factors of bank profitability, the most important one is the operating efficiency ratio. Furthermore, profitability is influenced negatively by liquidity risk, solvency risk, credit risk, and bank size.
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INTRODUCTION

Starting from the establishment of the Indonesia’s first Islamic bank namely Bank Muamalat Indonesia (BMI) in 1992 (Sari, et al, 2016), the Islamic banking system in Indonesia has shown better development and have been widely accepted in this country. Until 2014, there have been 12 Islamic banks with 2.151 units of offices in Indonesia. Therefore, in order to keep loyalty of the customers, Islamic banks should increase their performance through profitability aspect. Because high profitability means good management performance of a bank (Paulin and Sudarso, 2015).

The Islamic bank’s assets have continued to increase from 79.2 billion rupiahs in the fourth quarter of 2010 to 204.96 billion rupiahs in the fourth quarter 2014. Despite of an increase in assets, profits of Islamic banks actually decreased in 2014. As shown in Chart 1, Islamic bank’s profit fell by 1.14 billion rupiahs throughout 2014. The decline in profit indicates a decrease in the ability of banks to optimize its assets to create profitability.

A healthy and profitable performance of bank is necessary to face negative shock in maintaining the stability. That is why the influential factors of profitability on Islamic banks in Indonesia become really important to observe. Theoretically, there are some indicators to measure bank’s profitability, such as: return on assets (ROA) and return on equity (ROE). However, ROA is a major indicator that more appropriate to describe profitability, because it is focusing on the bank capability to get earning in operational activities with utilizing bank’s assets (Paulin and Sudarso, 2015). It shows how bank can convert its assets to maximize its profit.

In this paper we try to identify the determinant of banks profitability and the main factors that affect the profitability of Islamic banks, over the quarterly period from 2010 to 2014. In the literature, factors that influencing bank’s profitability are generally split into two groups: internal (bank-specific) factors and external (industry specific and macroecnomic) factors.

Study by Idris, et al (2011), shows that the bank-specific factors are liquidity risk, solvency risk, operating expense management, credit risk and bank size, which are characteristics of individual banks that have a direct associate to bank’s management decisions and policy

Since the bank-specific factors can be controlled by the bank’s management, these factors become very important in influencing the profitability. A poor decisions in this management will cause badly on profitability. Therefore, this research focused on analysis of bank specific factors to determine the bank profitability. There are 5 hypotheses of the determinants of Islamic bank profitability, those are:

\[ H_1: \] Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR) has a significant effect to Return on Assets (ROA) in Islamic banks in Indonesia

\[ H_2: \] Capital Adequancy Ratio (CAR) has a significant effect to Return on Assets (ROA) in Islamic banks in Indonesia

\[ H_3: \] Operation Efficiency Ratio (OER) has a negative and significant effect to Return on Assets (ROA) in Islamic banks in Indonesia

\[ H_4: \] Credit Risk (NPF) has a negative and significant effect to Return on Assets (ROA) in Islamic banks in Indonesia

\[ H_5: \] Bank Size (Size) has a significant effect to Return on Assets (ROA) in Islamic banks in Indonesia

![Chart 1. The development of assets and profits of Islamic banks](source: The Financial Service Authority (OJK))
METHODOLOGY

The research covers 11 Islamic banking institutions in Indonesia that have complete financial data, such as PT Bank Muamalat, PT BNI Syariah, PT Bank Syariah Mandiri, PT Bank Mega Syariah, PT Bank Maybank Syariah Mandiri, PT Bank Victoria Syariah, PT BRI Syariah, PT Bank Jabar Banten Syariah, PT Bank Panin Syariah, PT Bank Syariah Bukopin, and PT BCA Syariah. The data are obtained from the financial report of the selected banks that collected by OJK. The data are on a quarterly basis which covers the fourth quarter of 2010 until the fourth quarter of 2014, which consist of one dependent variable (ROA), and five independent variables (FDR, CAR, OER, NPF, Size). Table 1 summarizes the variables used in this paper and their expected effect on bank profitability.

This study used panel data regression to analyze the determinants of profitability of Islamic bank in Indonesia. Panel data are commonly used because of some reasons. Based on Gujarati (2004), panel data have

Table 1.
Variables Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Proxy</th>
<th>Expected Relation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dependent Variable</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on Asset</td>
<td>ROA</td>
<td>net profit before tax/total assets.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Independent Variable</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquidity</td>
<td>FDR</td>
<td>financing/fund third parties</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvability</td>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>capital/risk weighted assets</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation Efficiency Ratio</td>
<td>OER</td>
<td>cost/income</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Risk</td>
<td>NPF</td>
<td>bad debt/financing</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Size</td>
<td>Size</td>
<td>logarithm of total assets</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
advantages to give more informative data and more variability by combining time series and cross section observations. Besides that, this technique allows to study the impact of bank-specific characteristics, with less collinearity among variables, more degree of freedom, and greater efficiency (Abduh and Yameen, 2013).

In panel data regression techniques, there are three models, such as pooled effect models, fixed effect models, and random effect models (Greene, 2007:183). Informally, random effect model can be chosen if number of cross sections greater than number of time series. Whereas, if number of cross section less than number of time series, then use fixed effect. Nevertheless, it is recommended that selection of the best model should be obtained by using formal test, such as Chow test, Hausman test, and Lagrange Multiplier (LM) test.

Then, proceed the selection of the residual variance-covariance structure to find the appropriate estimation method by using \( \lambda_{LM} \) test. This test is to determine whether there is a variance-covariance structure that has cross sectional correlation or not. If the residual variance-covariance structure has a correlation between individuals, then choose cross-sectional correlation (SUR). However if there is no correlation between individuals, choose cross section weight.

In addition, to analyze the determinants of profitability, this paper also analyzes the dominant variable in influence profitability. Standardized variable can be used to obtain this objective, since it does not matter in what unit regressand and regressors are measured (Gujarati and Porter, 2008). The standardized regression coefficients, also sometimes called beta coefficients, have been proposed to facilitate comparisons between regression coefficients (Neter, 1983).

\[
\beta_x = \frac{\beta_x}{s_x} 
\]

(1)

Descriptions :

\( \beta_x \) = beta coefficients of the X regressor
\( \beta_x \) = coefficients of the X regressor
\( s_x \) = standard deviation of the X regressor
\( s_y \) = standard deviation of the regressand

This research adopt the following regression equation to study the determinants of profitability of Islamic banks in Indonesia,

\[
\text{ROA}_t = \alpha + \beta_1 \text{FDR}_t + \beta_2 \text{CAR}_t + \beta_3 \text{OER}_t + \beta_4 \text{NPF}_t + \beta_5 \text{Size}_t + \epsilon_t \quad (2)
\]

Descriptions :

ROA = Return on Assets
FDR = Financing Deposit Ratio
CAR = Capital Adequacy Ratio
OER = Operating Efficiency Ratio
NPF = Non-Performing Finance
Size = Bank Size
\( \alpha \) = a constan
\( \beta_i \) = regression coefficient
\( \epsilon_t \) = error term
RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The average ROA of Islamic Banks since the fourth quarter of 2010 tend to increase until the first quarter of 2013 (Chart 2.). However, there was a turning point in the second quarter of 2013 where ROA continued to decline and reached 0.68 percent in the end of 2014. The decline of ROA can not be separated from the bank’s internal management which is not going well.

Declining of FDR ratio indicates a lack of funding distribution for third-party funds obtained, where banks tend to choose to maintain liquidity rather than increase profitability. Meanwhile, NPF continues to increase due to the economic slowdown that reduce customer’s pay power. Lack of income followed by unbearable operating costs makes a decrease in Islamic Bank’s efficiency, which can be seen by an increase in OER. The capital also did not improve, seen from the declining of CAR ratio. This was mainly due to the transfer of hajj funds from Conventional to Islamic Banks.

Based on the result of the identification model, it can be determined that the best model is fixed effect model with cross-sectional correlation (SUR). The classical assumption test, such as normality and multicolinearity

Chart 2.
The development of FDR, CAR, OER, NPF, and ROA of Islamic banks

Source: Author’s calculation
have been satisfied. The result in Table 2. reports the estimated coefficient of fixed effect model, based on the result of Hausman specification test.

From the Table 2, the value of R squared is 0.9727. This value indicates that the variations of 5 independent variables used in this study (FDR, CAR, OER, NPF, Size) could explain the variation of dependent variable (ROA) for about 97.27%. Meanwhile, the remaining 2.73% is explained by other factors which are not included in the model. The probability of F-statistics is 0.000. Since the value of significance is lower than 0.05, it indicates that at least one of the independent variables has significant effect to the dependent variable.

As mentioned earlier, 5 bank-specific variables are used. According to the result obtained in this study, by judging t-test, the null hypotheses are well rejected. The absolute value of t-statistic variable of FDR, CAR, and Size, are greater than the critical value of the partial two-way test 2.2613. Whereas, the values of t-statistic variable OER and NPF showed a smaller value than the critical value of partial one-way test -1.9739. That can be said that all analyzed bank specific variables have significant influence on bank profitability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>14.62235</td>
<td>32.02883*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDR</td>
<td>-0.002621</td>
<td>-3.068167*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>-0.010121</td>
<td>-7.750326*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OER</td>
<td>-0.061044</td>
<td>-55.55892*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF</td>
<td>-0.084826</td>
<td>-8.916814*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>-0.463993</td>
<td>-19.04298*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.972716</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prob (F-statistic)</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*significance at the 5 percent levels
Source: Author’s calculation
From this study, it is surprisingly revealed that all of 5 independent variable show negatively impact towards the dependent variable. Discussion in more details on the relationship between independent variable and dependent variable are as follow.

This study found that liquidity, which used the total financing to deposits ratio as a proxy, had a significant relationship with profitability. Interestingly, negative relationship was found between FDR and ROA, which suggested that an increase in deposits do not generate more profit to the bank. This could be due to increased the bad debt, so an increase in financing can not followed by an increase in profits. Furthermore, if banks provides many financing funds, actually it is really dangerous to the bank’s sustainability and increase liquidity risk (Paulin and Sudarso, 2015). This findings is similar with study reported by Alhamdita dan Heykal (2013), Anggraini (2014), dan Paulin and Sudarso (2015).

This study shows a negative relationship between solvency risk, which proxied by capital adequacy ratio (CAR), with profitability. A negative relationship between CAR and ROA suggest that an increasing among of capital does not lead to an increase in profit of the banks. Thus, although higher level of the bank capital provides safety, over caution in banking bussiness reduce the profitability (Curak, et al, 2012). In addition, there is also an increase in risky capital such as transfers of funds Hajj from conventional banks, so the cost to bear even greater and decrease the profitability. This results also supported by studies that have been conducted by Curak, et al (2012), Alhamdita and Heykal (2013), and Febrianto and Maskur (2015) which says that CAR has a negative effect to ROA.

Higher OER means smaller ROA. This can be due to the rising of bank operation costs such as renew facilities and services provided (Paulin and Sudarso, 2015). When the increase in revenues is not as much as its operational cost, the profitability would be declining. The high expense can be due to the increasing in provision cost that must be provided by the banks. This result also in line with researches by Curak, et al (2012), Petria, et al (2013), and Rahaman (2015) which found that the effect of OER is significantly negative to profitability.

Credit risk (NPF) also has a negative and statistically significant impact on bank
profitability. Lack of monitoring programs could also lead to the high of non-performing finance. Based on the result, it has been known that higher non-performance finance would make profitability goes down. It happens due to the lack of monitoring programs that make the returns are not collected on time. So, it can hamper the income of operational activities. The same results are also obtained by Curak, et al (2012), Petria, et al (2013), and Rahaman (2015).

Bank size was found to be a significant but has inverse relationship with profitability. This results gives support to the recent papers from Almazari (2014), Rahaman (2015), and Havidz and Chandra (2015), who stated that there is a negative and significant effect between bank size and profitability. The reason is because the bank could not maintain the assets as they growing into bigger bank. The recent papers also mention that the diseconomies of scale occur when the level of size increase. Growing banks may face diminishing marginal returns, so the average profit could decline with size (Almazari, 2014).

The result in table 3 shows that the operating expense ratio (OER) has the greatest absolute standardized regression coefficients among other variables. Its exhibits the very strong explanatory power of operating expense towards the level of profitability. It can be said that this variable has the most important effect on bank profitability among other variables. Thus, the banks should focus more on managing the expenses in order to improve the efficiency and increase the bank profitability (Curak, et al, 2012). It indicates that if the (standardized) OER increase by one standar deviation, on average, the (standardized) ROA decreases by about 0.72761 standar deviations.

Table 3.
Standardized Coefficient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Standardized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FDR</td>
<td>-0.08782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>-0.23183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OER</td>
<td>-0.72761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF</td>
<td>-0.11931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>-0.50689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s calculation
Meanwhile, the lowest explanatory power of coefficient standardized in explaining ROA is FDR, which the result is -0.08782. It shows that the increasing of (standardized) FDR by one standard deviation, on average, then only will decrease (standardized) ROA for about 0.08782. This finding also in line with the study by Havidz and Chandra (2015), which found that the weakest explanatory power of coefficient is FDR in explaining ROA.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

This paper analyzed determinants of profitability of 11 Islamic banks in Indonesia in the period between the fourth quarter of year 2010 and the fourth quarter of year 2014 using panel regression analysis. According to our results, the profitability of the banks is determinate by bank-specific factors, namely liquidity risk, solvency risk, operating expense ratio, non-performing finance, and bank size. Since the bank-specific factors are the results of bank policies and managements, thus the banks have ability to influence them. This study shows those independent variables have negative and significant effect to profitability, which is the return on assets (ROA). In addition, the most important bank-specific determinant of profitability is operating expense ratio (OER). Therefore, banks should undertake the activities, whether it is necessary or not, to reduce management cost in order to increase the cost efficiency.

For the next study, it recommends to add more observation unit, use more set of explanatory variables such as external-macroeconomic variable, and analyze using longer periods of time.
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